Company: Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G)/ San Diego Gas and Electric Company (U 902 M)
Proceeding: 2019 General Rate Case
Application: A.17-10-008_007
Exhibit: SCG-28-R/SDG\&E-26-R

## REVISED

SOCALGAS/SDG\&E
DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MIA L. DEMONTIGNY
(CORPORATE CENTER - GENERAL ADMINISTRATION)
DECEMBER 2017

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1
A. Summary of Corporate Center General Administrative Costs and Activities ..... 1
B. Summary of Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase-Related Costs ..... 3
C. Summary of Costs Related to Fueling Our Future (FOF) ..... 3
D. Summary of Aliso-Related Costs. ..... 4
E. Summary of Costs Related to the Voluntary Retirement Enhancement Program ..... 4
F. Support to / From Other Witnesses ..... 5
II. RISK ASSESSMENT MITIGATION PHASE AND SAFETY CULTURE ..... 5
A. Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase ..... 5

1. Records Management ..... 7
2. Workplace Violence ..... 8
B. Safety Culture ..... 9
III. CORPORATE CENTER POLICIES ..... 10
A. Shared Services ..... 10
B. Cost Allocations ..... 11
3. Direct Assignment ..... 12
4. Causal/Beneficial Methods ..... 13
5. Multi-Factor Methods ..... 13
C. Forecasting Approach ..... 15
D. Escalation Approach ..... 15
IV. SHARED COSTS ..... 16
A. Finance ..... 17
6. Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") (A-1) ..... 18
7. Accounting Services (A-2) ..... 19
8. Tax Services (A-3) ..... 22
9. Treasury (A-4) ..... 23
10. Investor Relations (A-5) ..... 26
11. Internal Audit Services and Risk Management (A-6) ..... 27
12. Financial Leadership Program (A-7) ..... 30
B. Legal, Compliance, and Governance ..... 31
13. Legal Services (B-1) ..... 32
14. Compliance and Governance (B-2) ..... 36
15. Executive (B-3) ..... 38
C. Human Resources and Administration ..... 38
16. Senior VP Chief Human Resources and Administration (C-1) ..... 40
17. Compensation \& Benefits (C-2) ..... 41
18. Corporate Human Resources Staffing and Development (C-3) ..... 44
19. CIO, Corporate Systems, and Security (C-4) ..... 46
D. Corporate Strategy and External Affairs ..... 49
20. Executive VP of Corporate Strategy and External Affairs (D-1) ..... 50
21. Corporate Strategy (D-2) ..... 51
22. Communications (D-3) ..... 52
23. Issues Management (D-4) ..... 53
24. Corporate Responsibility (D-5) ..... 54
25. Governmental Affairs (D-6) ..... 55
26. Employee Programs (D-7) ..... 56
E. Facilities and Assets. ..... 57
27. Depreciation/Rate of Return (E-1) ..... 58
28. Property Taxes (E-2) ..... 62
29. Other Facilities and Assets (E-3) ..... 63
F. Pension \& Benefits ..... 64
30. Employee Benefits (F-1) ..... 65
31. Payroll Taxes (F-2) ..... 66
32. Incentive Compensation (F-3) ..... 67
33. Long-Term Incentive (F-4) ..... 67
34. Supplemental Retirement (F-5) ..... 68
V. CONCLUSION ..... 69
VI. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS ..... 70
LIST OF APPENDICES
Appendix A - Glossary of Terms ..... MLD-A-1

## SUMMARY

| Operations \& Maintenance <br> (in 2016 \$) | Adjusted Recorded <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6} \mathbf{( \$ 0 0 0 )}$ | Test Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9} \mathbf{( \$ 0 0 0 )}$ | Change (\$000) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SDG\&E Allocations | 59,202 | 58,082 | $(1,120)$ |
| SoCalGas Allocations | 60,270 | 70,902 | 10,632 |
| Total Utilities | 119,472 | 128,984 | 9,512 |

Summary of Request - San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG\&E) and Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas, collectively Utilities)
The overall request for SDG\&E of \$58,082,000 represents a decrease of \$1,120,000 for Test Year (TY) 2019 from 2016 adjusted-recorded costs. The overall request for SoCalGas of $\$ 70,902,000$ represents an increase of $\$ 10,632,000$ for TY 2019 over 2016 adjusted-recorded costs. Primary pressures are the following:

- Pension and Benefits costs have increased by $\$ 1,062,000$ for SDG\&E and by $\$ 3,686,000$ for SoCalGas. These costs are higher due to restricted stock expense and an increase in overall costs for medical plans, higher projected post-retirement benefit expense, and new staffing hires in information technology, cybersecurity, infrastructure, governance, and architecture.
- Labor costs have increased by $\$ 1,316,000$ and $\$ 3,068,000$ at SDG\&E and SoCalGas, respectively. These increases are primarily related to an effort to increase our corporate-wide information technology, cybersecurity, infrastructure, governance, and architecture support, resulting in new staffing hires.
- Consulting costs, primarily in the Human Resources and Administration division, represent additional pressures of $\$ 1,119,000$ at SDG\&E and $\$ 1,782,000$ at SoCalGas. Human Resources and Administration consulting is increasing due to the need to support the implementation of Sempra Energy's new Human Resources capital management system and for our information technology, cybersecurity, infrastructure, governance, and architecture support.
- New corporate Human Resources, Corporate Tax, Corporate Security, and Treasury Management systems going online in 2017 and 2018 are resulting in higher allocations from depreciation in TY 2019 for SDG\&E and SoCalGas of \$1,297,000 and $\$ 1,231,000$, respectively.
- Travel and training expenses constitute additional pressures of \$546,000 at SDG\&E and $\$ 807,000$ at SoCalGas.
- Rent and maintenance increased by $\$ 491,000$ for SDG\&E and by $\$ 658,000$ for SoCalGas.
- SDG\&E's and SoCalGas' allocations are higher by $\$ 230,000$ and $\$ 339,000$, respectively, due to an increase in Board of Directors fees and expenses for management oversight and the addition of new Board members.
- Other net pressures amount to $\$ 780,000$ at SoCalGas and are included in this testimony and supporting workpapers.

These pressures are partially offset by the following reductions:

- Fueling our Future operational improvements at the Corporate Center related to labor cost reductions and contracting/procurement efficiencies contribute to a reduction in allocations of \$2,997,000 at SDG\&E and \$3,380,000 at SoCalGas.
- There is an additional reduction in legal fees and services for concluded matters in 2016 of $\$ 1,617,000$ at SDG\&E and $\$ 199,000$ at SoCalGas for which costs are not forecasted to recur in the future.
- The net reduction for Outside Legal services includes a reduction at SDG\&E of $\$ 2,543,000$, offset by an increase at SoCalGas of $\$ 1,861,000$. TY 2019 excludes legal fees for SDG\&E matters (SONGS, Sunrise, Wildfires, and Rim Rock) and SoCalGas matters (Aliso Canyon, Sesnon, and Pengzuan Diao) not requested in this General Rate Case (GRC) application.

SDG\&E and SOCALGAS REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MIA L. DEMONTIGNY (CORPORATE CENTER - GENERAL ADMINISTRATION)

## I. INTRODUCTION

## A. Summary of Corporate Center General Administrative Costs and Activities

I sponsor the TY 2019 forecasts for allocations of Shared General Administration costs from Sempra Energy's Corporate Center to SDG\&E and SoCalGas. In Table MLD-1A, you will find a summary of my total sponsored costs, and the portions attributable to SDG\&E and SoCalGas.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) in Decision (D.) 98-03-073 approved the application to merge Enova Corporation and Pacific Enterprises, the former parent companies of SDG\&E and SoCalGas, respectively (collectively Utilities), and form Sempra Energy. Sempra Energy then formed a centralized Corporate Center that combined many shared services of both Utilities and served our other businesses (referred to as Global).

Corporate Center, as described in more detail throughout this testimony, provides corporate governance, policy direction, and critical control functions, as well as services that are still performed most effectively as a centralized operation. They are services that would otherwise require additional staffing and Operations and Maintenance (O\&M) at SDG\&E and SoCalGas, if not performed and allocated by the Corporate Center.

TABLE MLD-1A
Test Year 2019 Summary of Total Costs

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| A Finance | 90,913 | $(31,356)$ | 59,556 | 32,161 | $(3,590)$ | 28,571 |
| B Legal, Compliance and Governance | 50,929 | 11,414 | 62,344 | 25,162 | $(1,634)$ | 23,528 |
| C Human Resources \& Administration | 18,030 | 6,668 | 24,698 | 15,413 | 6,287 | 21,700 |
| D Corporate Strategy \& External Affairs | 8,110 | 6,310 | 14,420 | 3,542 | 349 | 3,890 |
| E Facilities and Assets | 25,379 | 4,547 | 29,926 | 12,533 | 3,354 | 15,886 |
| F Pension \& Benefits | 87,431 | 6,618 | 94,048 | 30,662 | 4,748 | 35,409 |
| Total | \$280,792 | \$4,201 | \$284,992 | \$119,472 | \$9,512 | \$128,984 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 59,202 | $(1,120)$ | 58,082 |  |  | 60,922 |
| So Cal Gas | 60,270 | 10,632 | 70,902 |  |  | 74,446 |
| Total Utility | 119,472 | 9,512 | 128,984 |  |  | \$135,368 |
| Global / Retained | 161,320 | $(5,311)$ | 156,008 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$280,792 | \$4,201 | \$284,992 |  |  |  |

My testimony presents costs on an incurred basis: the recorded costs for 2016; forecasted costs for 2019; and the allocation of those costs to SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and Global/Retained. For TY 2019, 45\% of all forecasted, un-escalated Corporate Center shared service costs are allocated to SDG\&E and SoCalGas. Corporate Center shared service costs not allocated to SDG\&E and SoCalGas are not included in this request.

The expenses requested are required so that both SDG\&E and SoCalGas can continue to comply and be in good standing with existing and potentially new governmental, legal, and regulatory requirements. Examples of governing federal, state, and local authorities are the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and CPUC. Compliance is a basic requirement of corporate governance. The expenses requested are also necessary for basic corporate support functions and services, such as payroll and benefits administration, tax services, and internal audit, among others. These are provided to the operating areas of the Companies in an efficient, effective, and timely manner.

SDG\&E and SoCalGas infrastructure programs are also growing and evolving in response to customer preferences and the changing energy, regulatory, and policy environment. Their future capital plans call for significant investments in:

- Safety and Reliability - Enhancing and updating electric and natural gas infrastructure.
- System Modernization - Investments in natural gas and electric distribution and integration of new storage technology.
- Electrification and Decarbonization - Transitioning to electric and natural gas based transportation solutions to address environmental concerns and societal preferences.

This growth creates pressure on services at Corporate Center that support capital investment, primarily within the Legal and Finance functions, both of which assist in activities to obtain the financing necessary for construction. While the cost of capital is not at issue in this proceeding, financing-related expenses such as short-term credit, banking, and rating agency fees are included.

This request also includes legal fees paid to outside firms and consultants, directly attributable to SDG\&E and SoCalGas matters, as well as allocations for Sempra Energy matters.

## B. Summary of Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase-Related Costs

Certain of the costs supported in my testimony are driven by activities described in SoCalGas and SDG\&E's November 30, 2016 Risk Assessment Mitigation Proceeding (RAMP) Report. The RAMP Report presented an assessment of the key safety risks of SoCalGas and SDG\&E and proposed plans for mitigating those risks. As discussed in the Direct Testimony of Diana Day and Jamie York (Ex. SDG\&E-02 and SCG-02), the costs of risk-mitigation projects and programs were translated from that RAMP Report into the individual witness areas.

In the course of preparing my GRC forecasts, I evaluated the scope, schedule, resource requirements, and synergies of RAMP-related projects and programs. Therefore, the final representation of RAMP costs may differ from the ranges shown in the original RAMP Report.

Table MLD-1B provides a summary of the RAMP-related costs supported by my testimony by RAMP risk:

TABLE MLD-1B
Corporate General Administration RAMP-Related Costs

|  | 2016 Embedded <br> Base Costs (000s) | TY 2019 Estimated <br> Incremental (000s) | Total (000s) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SDG\&E - Records Management | 107 | $(4)$ | 103 |
| SDG\&E - Workplace Violence | 145 | 196 | 341 |
| SoCalGas - Records Management | 116 | 2 | 118 |
| SoCalGas - Workplace Violence | 328 | 304 | 632 |
| Total O\&M | $\mathbf{6 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 9 4}$ |

## C. Summary of Costs Related to Fueling Our Future (FOF)

As described in the Direct Testimony of Hal Snyder and Randall Clark (Ex. SCG-03/SDG\&E-03), the Utilities kicked off the Fueling Our Future (FOF) initiative in May 2016 to identify and implement efficient operational improvements. Savings to be realized as a result of implementing FOF operational improvements at the Corporate Center primarily relate to contracting/procurement efficiencies and labor cost reductions. Total FOF savings reflected in TY 2019 are approximately $\$ 6,377,000$. The savings for SDG\&E and SoCalGas are \$2,997,000 and $\$ 3,380,000$, respectively.

## D. Summary of Aliso-Related Costs

In compliance with D.16-06-054, ${ }^{1}$ the Direct Testimony of Andrew Steinberg (Ex. SCG12) describes the process undertaken so that TY 2019 forecasts do not include the additional costs from the Aliso Canyon Storage Facility gas leak incident (the Aliso Incident) and demonstrates that the itemized recorded costs are removed from the historical information used by the impacted GRC witnesses.

As a result of removing historical costs related to the Aliso Incident from Corporate Center General Administration adjusted recorded data, and in tandem with the forecasting methods employed and described herein, additional costs of the Aliso Incident response are not included as a component of my TY 2019 funding request. Historical Corporate Center General Administration costs that are related to the Aliso Incident are removed as adjustments in my workpapers (Ex. SDG\&E-26-R-WP and SCG-28-R-WP) and identified in Table MLD-1C below.

TABLE MLD-1C
Corporate General Administration Historical Adjustments to Remove Aliso Incident Costs

|  | 2015 Adjusted- <br> Recorded (000s) | 2016 Adjusted- <br> Recorded (000s) | Total (000s) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Aliso Canyon Leak Legal <br> Costs | $(1,403)$ | $(44,605)$ | $(46,006)$ |
| Aliso Canyon Emergency <br> Services | $(26)$ | $(170)$ | $(196)$ |
| Aliso Canyon Gas Leak <br> Support | 0 | $(5)$ | $(5)$ |
| Aliso Canyon Relocation <br> Review | 0 | $(1,276)$ | $(1,276)$ |
| Total O\&M | $\mathbf{( 1 , 4 2 9 )}$ | $(46,056)$ | $\mathbf{( 4 7 , 4 8 5 )}$ |

## E. Summary of Costs Related to the Voluntary Retirement Enhancement Program

Sempra Energy (including SDG\&E and SoCalGas) implemented a Voluntary Retirement Enhancement Program (VREP) in 2016, designed to offer savings from operating efficiencies,

[^0]optimized business processes, and enhanced overall operations. At Corporate Center, there was a one-time post-retirement benefits special termination benefit charge of \$1,720,000 in 2016.

## F. Support to / From Other Witnesses

In addition to sponsoring my own organization's costs, I provide the Multi-Factor allocation methodology to be used in the Corporate Insurance Direct Testimony of Neil Cayabyab (Ex. SDG\&E-27 and SCG-29). Because insurance is also centrally procured on behalf of Sempra Energy's business units, any policies that are not directly assigned are subject to the same allocation hierarchy and methodologies I discuss in section II.B., particularly the MultiFactor (II.B.3).

In section II.A., I also describe the flow of shared services that are charged to Corporate Center by SDG\&E and SoCalGas, subject to the Corporate Re-Allocation method, whose calculation (workpaper J-4) we provide to the Direct Testimony of Jim Vanderhye (Ex. SDG\&E26 and SCG-25).

While the direct salaries for each Corporate Center function are included in my testimony, the corresponding benefits and payroll tax overheads are classified separately in section III.F. and were determined to be consistent with the plans described in the Compensation \& Benefits Direct Testimony of Debbie Robinson (Ex. SDG\&E-22 and SCG-21), and in her Direct Testimony on Pension and Post-Retirement Benefits Other Than Pensions (PBOPs) (Ex. SDG\&E-23 and SCG-22).

## II. RISK ASSESSMENT MITIGATION PHASE AND SAFETY CULTURE

## A. Risk Assessment Mitigation Phase

As illustrated in Table MLD-1B above, a portion of my requested funds is linked to mitigating top safety risks that have been identified in the RAMP Report. These risks are further described here:

| RAMP Risk | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Records Management <br> (SCG-8 and SDG\&E-13) | Relates to the potential public safety, property, reliability, <br> regulatory, or financial impacts that result from the use of <br> inaccurate or incomplete records. |
| Workplace Violence <br> (SCG-5 and SDG\&E-9) | This involves a violent incident related to the workplace, <br> resulting in emotional or physical harm to an employee(s) or third <br> parties. |

In developing my request, priority was given to these key safety risks to determine which currently established risk-control measures were important to continue and what incremental efforts were needed to further mitigate these risks.

Identifying projects and programs that help to mitigate these result in adjustments to my forecasted costs. This adjustment process was used to identify both RAMP mitigation costs embedded as part of traditional and historic activities, as well as forecasted RAMP-incremental costs associated with mitigation strategies and corresponding to historic or new activities. These can be found in my workpapers as described below. The general treatment of RAMP forecasting is described in the testimony of Ms. Day (Ex. SDG\&E-02 and SCG-02).

For each of these risks, an "embedded" 2016 estimated cost-to-mitigate, and any incremental costs expected by the Test Year 2019, are shown in the following tables. RAMPrelated costs are further described in Sections III, IV, and V below as well as in my workpapers. The tables also provide the location in my workpapers where the specific adjustments representing those incremental costs can be found.

TABLE MLD-2A
Summary of Related RAMP Costs - Records Management

|  |  | TY2019 <br> Estimated <br> Incremental <br> (000s) | Total (000s) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Records Management (In 2016 \$) | 2016 Embedded <br> Base Costs (000s) | 2 | 118 |
| SCG-8 - Third Party Gap Assessment | 116 | $(4)$ | 103 |
| SDG\&E-13 - Third Party Gap Assessment | 107 | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ |
| Total Cost | $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ |  |  |

TABLE MLD-2B
Summary of Related RAMP Costs - Workplace Violence
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { TY2019 } \\ \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Incremental } \\ (\mathbf{0 0 0 s})\end{array} & \text { Total (000s) }\end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l}\text { 2016 Embedded } \\ \text { Base Costs (000s) }\end{array}\right)$

As the tables demonstrate, the RAMP risk mitigation efforts are associated with specific programs or projects. For each of these mitigation efforts, an evaluation was made to determine the portion, if any, that was already being performed in our historical activities.

While the starting point for consideration of the risk mitigation effort and cost was the RAMP Report, our evaluation of those efforts continued through the preparation of this GRC request. Changes in scope, schedule, availability of resources, overlaps or synergies of mitigation efforts, and shared costs or benefits were also considered. Therefore, the incremental costs of risk mitigation sponsored in my testimony may differ from those first identified in the RAMP report.

## 1. Records Management

Many, if not all, departments at both Companies rely on having correct, updated, and easily accessible information that is stored in the Companies' data centers. As such, records management is an issue for everyone at SDG\&E and SoCalGas and adherence to records management policies is vital to operations. Failure in records management, or in the use of inaccurate of incomplete records, has potential public safety, property, regulatory and financial impacts, as well as the threat of erosion of public confidence.

Corporate Center is responsible for administration of and adherence to its record management policy and practices, resources to manage records, internal audits, and records storage and retention. Corporate Center's record management policies include, but are not limited to, processes and systems containing records, definition and identification of records, organizational records, and document retention and disposal policy. The goal of our records management policies and practices is to provide consistent responsibilities for records management, and to require the assignment of specific accountability for oversight and administration of records management. As such, it is essential that the records management policies be free from deficiencies and that employees follow leading records management practices.

In order for our employees to follow leading records management practices, we must first identify what these leading practices for utilities are and what we need to do to improve our practices. To do this, the companies will hire a third-party records management expert to conduct a gap assessment between current policies and practices and leading policies and practices, then provide recommendations on filling these gaps. This assessment will help us
develop a roadmap to remediate any deficiencies in our records management practices and minimize our records management-related risk.

## Alternatives Considered:

No alternative was considered to the proposed leading practice and gap assessment mitigation. We believe it is a critical and necessary first step in reducing our records management risk.

## 2. Workplace Violence

The Companies consider workplace violence to be a violent incident related to the workplace, resulting in emotional or physical harm to an employee(s) or third parties. The workplace violence risk has potential safety consequences, including emotional abuse, injury, or fatality; operational disruptions; adverse litigation and related financial impacts; and/or costs associated with policy or procedure changes.

The mitigation for workplace violence that we focused on at Corporate Center is the mitigation for Planning, Awareness, and Incident Management. This mitigation includes projects and programs that provide services to try to manage this risk before an event can occur. These mitigations consist of the Workplace Violence Mitigation Team, training, investigations, employee awareness, new hire screening processes, employee assistance and wellness programs, the incident/case management system, and Corporate Security's risk management program.

The Workplace Violence Mitigation Team is trained to assess and respond to the threat posed by individuals who may be prone to violence. This team is responsible for developing and executing an effective Workplace Violence Prevention program. It includes training supervisors and employees to detect early warning signs of possible workplace violence, investigating and mitigating potential workplace violence incidents, responding appropriately to threat-related emergencies, identifying and enlisting the assistance of qualified professionals in workplace violence assessment, security, and incident management, and documenting all activities related to workplace violence prevention and control.

Sempra Energy offers a variety of training opportunities to employees to increase awareness regarding the identification and response to criminal activity, including workplace violence. These trainings include Active Shooter Training, Security Awareness Training, and Workplace Violence Training.

To further mitigate these risks, Corporate Security has expanded and added new activities. These include an upgrade of the incident/case management system, the addition of a social media monitoring tool, and adding personnel in the corporate security areas.

## Alternatives Considered:

A potential alternative for training is to outsource training or develop computer-based training. Although this alternative may have an increased cost in the short term (i.e., to hire the outside agency or develop the training), it would generally reduce costs in the future. Current training uses Corporate Security agents as instructors. Ideally, it is best to use Corporate Security agents as they provide greater insight into the Companies' employees, history, locations, and operations. Accordingly, this alternative was dismissed. However, as demand increases for security-related training, it may be necessary to further explore alternatives.

## B. Safety Culture

SDG\&E and SoCalGas are committed to providing safe and reliable service to their customers. The safety-first culture focuses on public, customer, and employee and contractor safety, with this commitment embedded in every aspect of our work, and at all levels of the organization. The Corporate Center function for SG\&E and SoCalGas implements and builds on that safety culture through its involvement in corporate governance, policy direction, and centralized functions.

Compliance is fundamental to a safety culture. Compliance is also a basic requirement of SDG\&E and SoCalGas' corporate governance. As capital infrastructure improvements for safety and reliability grow and evolve, so too do the demands on the supporting organizational and system structures. For capital improvement plans, the Legal and Finance functions need to be involved to obtain the necessary financing and comply with federal, state, and local requirements.

As discussed in the RAMP section of my testimony, the Corporate Center function also plays a key role in records management. Having access to accurate and complete records is also a necessary aspect of a safety culture. The Corporate Center function is responsible for the administration of offsite records storage and management, including the retention of records, audit for accuracy and compliance, and storage facilities.

Finally, the Corporate Center function is also actively involved in mitigation efforts for the identified risk of workplace violence by supporting the planning and incident management
investigation as well as programs to mitigate the risk before it occurs. As explained in the RAMP section of my testimony, this includes training, investigation, employee awareness, new hire screening, employee assistance, and corporate security activities.
III. CORPORATE CENTER POLICIES

## A. Shared Services

The following Shared Service Cost Flow chart shows how shared service costs are allocated among entities within Sempra Energy.

(1) Only includes costs sponsored by this testimony

The Corporate Center incurs costs for functions and services discussed below. These costs are charged out using direct assignment and allocation to SDG\&E, SoCalGas, Global, or are retained at the Corporate Center. The costs charged from the Corporate Center to SDG\&E and SoCalGas are recorded in the appropriate accounts as defined by the FERC. Most of those costs are related to FERC Administrative \& General (A\&G) accounts, but some charges support other FERC operational accounts as applicable.

For the TY 2019 forecast, all Corporate Center expenses billed to SDG\&E and SoCalGas are reflected in their respective $A \& G$ costs as depicted in the chart above. Each utility also capitalizes a portion of their total A\&G costs.

Each utility may not only charge shared A\&G costs (Utility Shared Services) between each other, they may also charge costs to the Corporate Center for shared services that are located at each utility. These services are referred to as Corporate Shared Services (CSS). They are primarily Rent and Facilities Maintenance, Real Estate Services, Information Technology (IT), Document and Supply Management Services, and some Accounting Services (e.g., Accounts Payable). Rather than duplicate these business functions across the organization, Corporate Center benefits from the structure that already exists at SDG\&E and SoCalGas. This results in more cost-effective Corporate Center overhead. The CSS charges to Corporate Center are determined at the Utilities based on appropriate direct assignment or cost allocation methods, which the Corporate Center reviews annually for reasonableness and applicability. Since SDG\&E and SoCalGas calculate and retain their share of CSS charges using the Corporate Center's overall allocation rate, known as the "Corporate Re-Allocation" method, any remaining charges to the Corporate Center are retained and not re-allocated to business units.

## B. Cost Allocations

The goal in Corporate Center allocation practices is to reasonably and equitably bill its costs to business units, associating the costs as closely as possible to the level of service being provided to each business unit. To achieve this, the Corporate Center uses a hierarchy to allocate its costs to SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and Global:

1. Direct Assignment
2. Causal/Beneficial
3. Multi-Factor

First, where an expense, such as labor, can be directly attributed to a business unit it is directly assigned to that business unit.

Second, Corporate Center functions supporting multiple business units are charged to the business units using a Causal/Beneficial factor.

Third, areas such as corporate oversight or governance functions that support the Sempra Energy companies as a whole are allocated using a Multi-Factor method.

Corporate Center applies generally accepted accounting practices for cost allocation, and those expenses which are deemed to solely benefit the Corporate Center (e.g., lobbying, contributions, corporate branding) are not billed to business units and are referred to as "retained" at Corporate Center.

All cost centers will use direct assignment when possible and any remaining costs are allocated by an appropriate Causal/Beneficial or Multi-Factor method as applicable. This process is illustrated in the following example: Assume a total cost center budget of $\$ 1.5$ million, of which $\$ 0.5$ million is directly assigned and the remaining $\$ 1.0$ million portion is allocated using a Causal/Beneficial method, with $36 \%$ going to SDG\&E, $40 \%$ to SoCalGas, and $24 \%$ to Global.


Following is a more complete description of Corporate Center's cost allocation methods.

## 1. Direct Assignment

All costs that relate to a specific business unit are direct-assigned to that business unit. An example would be outside legal costs associated with a specific case. Non-labor costs can be specifically identified to a business unit directly by entering charges through the accounts payable system or through journal entries to the general ledger. Labor costs can be specifically identified upon entering employee work hours into Sempra Energy's Timekeeping System (My

Time). Labor overheads, including payroll taxes and employee benefits, follow in proportion to the labor dollars charged to business units.

Some cost centers use customized timekeeping systems to track hours by project or cases in detail. For example, summaries of the Legal cost center's tracked hours by activity are also used as the basis for Causal/Beneficial rates, rather than use of the My Time system. The resulting allocations are nevertheless the same as if direct assignment had been used in My Time.

## 2. Causal/Beneficial Methods

When costs cannot be directly assigned, they are allocated using a Causal/Beneficial (CB) method, which is based on drivers that would be comparable for all business units and that would indicate the level of benefit received by each. An example of drivers for Causal/Beneficial methods is the number of employees per business unit for Human Resources (HR)-related services. Such cost-related drivers, budget plans, or historical work studies are the basis for Causal/Beneficial methods developed to allocate costs. Some administrative cost centers use a weighted average of the various methods employed within their department. Causal/Beneficial methods are re-evaluated each year during the planning process, or whenever major organizational changes occur, to ensure their reasonableness for the costs being allocated.

## 3. Multi-Factor Methods

The four-factor allocation method, also referred to as "Multi-Factor," is used for functions that serve all business units but for which there is not a causal relationship, such as Investor Relations, or Financial Reporting. The Multi-Factor weighs four factors from all business units:
a. Revenues;
b. Operating Expenses;
c. Gross Plant Assets and Investments; and
d. Full-Time Employees or Equivalents.

This cost allocation methodology is consistent with previous CPUC decisions, such as the Merger Decision (D.98-03-073), the 2004 Cost of Service Decision (D.04-12-015), and prior GRC Decisions in 2008 (D.08-07-046), 2012 (D.13-05-010), and 2016 (D.16-06-054). These four factors are compiled at the beginning of each year, using prior years' data as the basis for the following year's actual allocations.

To arrive at the forecasted rates for 2018 and 2019, historical data from 2013-2016 were projected using a trend forecasting method.


The chart above illustrates the trend results for the current GRC period. These trends show that the Utilities' overall share in TY 2019 is projected to be $76.2 \%$, which is in line with their combined historical allocation percentage. The Base Year allocation shift can be noted throughout this testimony and in related workpapers for cost areas that use the Multi-Factor for allocation.

## C. Forecasting Approach

My TY 2019 forecasts for each Corporate Center division were developed through an examination of cost elements and drivers for each cost center. Budget planners worked with division vice presidents, directors, and managers and where possible, used a zero-based forecasting approach for costs such as salaries, contract fees, and actuarial calculations. This means the budget for such costs was not established based on prior year spending, but was built by examining individual job positions and cost elements and providing a supporting rationale for each item. A five-year trend was utilized for Outside Legal services forecasting because unknown future legal matters cannot be predicted and the overall demand for legal services has steadily increased. For all other supporting costs, forecasted estimates are based on the most recent year's activity. Thus, the division-level testimony in section III will contain a blend of forecasting methods.

The allocation method for each cost center was reviewed and updated to reflect services provided in these forecasts. In most cases, the forecast allocation method is the same method as used in historical actuals (and accepted in prior GRCs), although rates may vary by year. The TY 2019 cost forecasts were analyzed in terms of both rate and volume changes from 2016.

The division forecasts exclude labor overheads such as payroll tax and benefits, which are shown separately in the Pension \& Benefits division (Section IV.F.). Corporate Center's costs were forecast by cost center and then allocated to SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and Global/Retained. None of the costs allocated to Global or costs that are being retained at the Corporate Center are included in this application.

## D. Escalation Approach

Total labor and non-labor costs are presented in this testimony in 2016 dollars. Forecasts for labor and non-labor in 2019 are stated in both 2016 and 2019 escalated dollars. Exceptions to these guidelines are items that are considered "non-standard" or not subject to standard inflation, for example costs that have contractual rates of increase, such as multi-year service agreements. Other non-standard items are fixed expenses such as depreciation or amortization of financing costs. Still, there may be other areas for which costs will increase at a different rate than that of general inflation. Contractual and other-than-standard inflation areas are itemized as drivers within the testimony and workpapers, and all non-standard items are excluded from further escalation.

Because of the variety of standard and non-standard costs, and the blending of labor and non-labor standard expenses allocated to SDG\&E and SoCalGas, the approach taken in this filing is for the Corporate Center to escalate forecasted costs for the Utilities. SDG\&E and SoCalGas incorporate their respective allocated costs as "non-standard" so they are not escalated a second time.

The escalation factors used by the Corporate Center for TY 2019 from the 2016 Base Year are 1.0534 for non-labor and 1.0849 for labor. The factors are composed of indices used by SDG\&E, as discussed in the Direct Testimonies on escalation of Scott R. Wilder (Ex. SDG\&E39 and SCG-40). SDG\&E indices were selected as the basis for Corporate Center, since both entities are primarily located in the San Diego market. For non-labor, a simple average of electric and gas indices for Administrative \& General (except for FERC account 926, Employee pensions and benefits) was used. For labor, a simple average of indices for Managers \& Administrators and Professional \& Technical was used, as these most closely correspond to the employee population at Corporate Center.

## IV. SHARED COSTS

The Corporate Center is made up of the divisions listed below. The divisions are arranged in the testimony with the reference/section letters shown below.

| Finance | A |
| :--- | :--- |
| Legal, Compliance, and Governance | B |
| Human Resources and Administration | C |
| Corporate Strategy and External Affairs | D |
| Facilities/Assets (including Depreciation) | E |
| Pension \& Benefits | F |

I am sponsoring the cost forecasts herein on a total incurred basis, as well as the shared services allocation percentages related to those costs. Those percentages are presented, along with a description explaining the activities being allocated.

Within the division sections, each table presents the related division's total costs, broken down first to departments within the division, and then to the lowest organizational level, referred to as "cost centers." For each cost center, 2016 adjusted-recorded costs are presented, with the TY 2019 forecast and incremental change from base year (BY) 2016. Of these total amounts, the portion applicable only to SDG\&E and SoCalGas (combined) is shown in the
columns to the right. The lower half of each table, for each department, shows the amounts allocated to each of SDG\&E and SoCalGas, with all remaining costs, not requested, summarized as Global/Retained.

Please refer to applicable workpapers for detailed calculations and explanations of changes. Workpapers are referenced by the index codes shown next to each division, department, and cost center name within the testimony and tables.

## A. Finance

The Finance division is responsible for maintaining the financial integrity of the Sempra Energy companies, including raising and managing capital. The role of each department within the Finance division is discussed in this section, with major functions highlighted in the table below.

TABLE MLD-4A
Finance Summary of Costs


The Finance division costs allocated to SDG\&E and SoCalGas are projected to decrease by $\$ 3.6$ million from BY 2016 to TY 2019. The primary drivers are:
\$ (3.0) Fueling Our Future staffing savings
(2.9) Fueling Our Future contracting/procurement savings
(0.5) Lower external audit fees
1.8 Higher consulting \& contract labor
0.8 Higher training, travel, and recruiting
0.2 Other - primarily IT systems expense and staffing
$\$ \quad(3.6)$

## 1. Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") (A-1)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1,205 | (236) | 970 | 506 | 101 | 607 |
| Total | \$1,205 | (\$236) | \$970 | \$506 | \$101 | \$607 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 252 | 48 | 301 |  |  | 323 |
| So Cal Gas | 253 | 52 | 306 |  |  | 329 |
| Total Utility | 506 | 101 | 607 |  |  | \$653 |
| Global / Retained | 700 | (337) | 363 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$1,205 | (\$236) | \$970 |  |  |  |

The CFO is responsible for the development of Sempra Energy's short- and long-term financial goals as well as operating and capital budgets. Distinct from the CFOs at its subsidiaries, the Sempra Energy CFO oversees the functions of the Corporate Controller, Treasury, Tax Services, Investor Relations, Internal Audit Services and Risk Management, Corporate Development/Mergers \& Acquisitions, and the Financial Leadership Program. The CFO maintains relationships with members of the financial community, such as investment bankers, credit analysts and long-term lenders. In addition, the CFO oversees preparation of all external financial reports and analyses and is responsible for certifying them under SEC requirements. The CFO also provides management and the Board of Directors with reports that evaluate performance against operating objectives, actively participates with other members of senior management to develop long-term business strategy, and provides leadership and strategic direction to all business units.

Allocation of Costs
Because of the diversity of allocation methods used by the various functions working under the CFO, a weighted average of the allocation methodologies used by each department reporting to the CFO was developed. This allocation method is referred to as "EVP - CFO."

| EVP-CFO | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global/ Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 28\% | 31\% |
|  |  | 29\% | 32\% |
|  |  | 43\% | 37\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

2. Accounting Services (A-2)

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) |  | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| A-2.1 | 1100-0338-SVP \& CONTROLLER | 604 | 4 | 608 | 365 | (23) | 342 |
| A-2.2 | 1100-0054-ASSISTANT CONTROLLER | 325 | (11) | 313 | 340 | (102) | 239 |
| A-2.3 | 1100-0347-ACCOUNTING RESEARCH \& POLICIES | 1,028 | (153) | 875 | 786 | (119) | 667 |
| A-2.4 | 1100-0051-CORPORATE \& GLOBAL ACCOUNTING | 1,327 | 748 | 2,076 | 662 | 381 | 1,043 |
| A-2.5 | 1100-0047-FINANCIAL REPORTING | 2,016 | 75 | 2,092 | 1,541 | 54 | 1,595 |
| A-2.6 | 1100-0299-CORPORATE PLANNING | 1,395 | $(1,103)$ | 293 | 705 | (559) | 146 |
| A-2.7 | 1100-0053-STRATEGIC PLANNING | 936 | 640 | 1,576 | 468 | 320 | 788 |
| A-2.8 | 1100-0219-AUDIT FEES | 7,139 | (89) | 7,050 | 5,520 | (144) | 5,376 |
| A-2.9 | 1100-0326-CORPORATE BUDGETS \& REPORTING | - | $(5,702)$ | $(5,702)$ | - | $(4,666)$ | $(4,666)$ |
| A-2.10 | 1100-0019-EHS PROJECT | 21,191 | $(21,191)$ | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | \$35,961 | $(\$ 26,781)$ | \$9,180 | \$10,388 | (\$4,858) | \$5,530 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | SDG\&E | 4,845 | $(2,326)$ | 2,519 |  |  | 2,683 |
| So Cal Gas Total Utility |  | 5,543 | $(2,532)$ | 3,011 |  |  | 3,210 |
|  |  | 10,388 | $(4,858)$ | 5,530 |  |  | \$5,893 |
| Global / RetainedTotal |  | 25,573 | $(21,923)$ | 3,650 |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$35,961 | $(\$ 26,781)$ | \$9,180 |  |  |  |

Accounting Services includes the Sempra Energy Controller, Assistant Controller, Accounting Research and Policies, Strategic Planning, Corporate and Global Accounting, and Financial Reporting. These functions are centralized at the Corporate Center for efficiencies in volume, system support, management oversight, standardization, and compliance.

- The Controller has overall responsibility for maintaining the financial reporting and internal controls of Sempra Energy companies with support from the Assistant Controller.
- Accounting Research and Policies analyzes accounting, financial reporting, and regulatory issues as needed to comply with Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and SEC rules and regulations.
- Strategic Planning facilitates the short- and long-term strategic planning and performance measurement process for Sempra Energy. While SDG\&E and SoCalGas both have operational planning and budgeting functions, Corporate Center sets policy and provides long-range planning services that are essential to all business units in attracting and allocating capital. This cost center also tracks and reports on Corporate Center, business unit, and Sempra Energy consolidated performance versus budgets. In addition, this group is responsible for shared service allocations to all business units and supports SDG\&E's and SoCalGas' GRCs and other regulatory filings by developing Corporate Center testimony and workpapers and responding to data requests.
- Corporate \& Global Accounting maintains asset, cost and financial accounting functions for the parent and Global companies. It also provides systems support across all business units for Sempra Energy's corporate financial systems. This includes training and support for the consolidation system, Hyperion Financial Management (HFM), planning systems (Hyperion Strategic Finance and Clarity) as well as various reporting tools.
- Financial Reporting consolidates and prepares internal financial analyses and prepares external reports filed with the SEC. External reporting for regulatory agencies (i.e., CPUC, FERC) is primarily handled by SDG\&E and SoCalGas.
- Sempra Energy's audit fees paid to our external auditors are also included in this department.
- Corporate Budgets and Reporting comprises FOF savings. The portion of FOF labor cost reductions related to the Finance division is included in this cost center; the remaining labor FOF reductions are included in the applicable cost centers throughout the Corporate Center. FOF contracting/procurement efficiencies savings are also included in this cost center.
- The EHS cost center contains the FOF implementation and consulting costs. These costs are $100 \%$ retained at the Corporate Center.

Allocation of Costs
Accounting Services are primarily enterprise-wide functions that benefit SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and all other business units, and all non-direct costs for these functions are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" method.

| Multi-Factor Basic | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 37\% | 35\% |
|  |  | 40\% | 41\% |
|  |  | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

Exceptions are Strategic Planning and Corporate \& Global Accounting. Because of additional reliance by Global affiliates on these groups, it is more equitable to use the "MultiFactor Split" method, a variation which equally divides the overall allocation between Global and the Utilities.

|  |  | Allocation Rates <br> Multi-Factor Split |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | SDG\&E | 2016 |
|  |  | $24 \%$ | 2019 |
|  | SoCalGas | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
|  | Global/Retained | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
|  |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Because of the diversity of allocation methods used by the various functions reporting to the Controller, a weighted average of the allocation methodologies used by each department was developed for purposes of allocating the Controller's costs. This allocation method is referred to as "SVP - Controller."

|  |  | Allocation Rates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SVP - Controller | SDG\&E | 2016 |  | 2019 |
|  |  | $30 \%$ |  | $29 \%$ |
|  | SoCalGas | $33 \%$ |  | $33 \%$ |
|  | Global / Retained | $37 \%$ |  | $38 \%$ |
|  |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

## 3. Tax Services (A-3)

|  <br>  <br> Services Provided | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| A-3.1 1100-0046-VP OF CORPORATE TAX | - | 329 | 329 | - | 188 | 188 |
| A-3.2 1100-0373-CORPORATE TAX SERVICES | 11,884 | (610) | 11,274 | 6,293 | 269 | 6,562 |
| A-3.3 1100-0014-TAX ACCOUNTS | 378 | (378) | - | 198 | (198) |  |
| Total | \$12,262 | (\$659) | \$11,603 | \$6,491 | \$259 | \$6,750 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 3,298 | 41 | 3,339 |  |  | 3,580 |
| So Cal Gas | 3,193 | 218 | 3,411 |  |  | 3,655 |
| Total Utility | 6,491 | 259 | 6,750 |  |  | \$7,235 |
| Global / Retained | 5,771 | (918) | 4,853 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$12,262 | (\$659) | \$11,603 |  |  |  |

The Tax Department is responsible for federal, state, local, and international tax compliance and planning, tax accounting, regulatory tax research and compliance, and establishing tax policy governance for Sempra Energy and its business units.

- Federal, state, and local tax compliance and planning includes research and preparation of all income tax filings, research and preparation of filings for stateassessed property taxes, franchise fees, utility user tax, sales taxes, and handling of any other local taxes and fees. This group also handles federal, state, local, and international audits of tax issues by taxing authorities and regulatory bodies.
- Regulatory compliance responsibilities comprise research and reporting of ratemaking tax impacts of proposed transactions; preparation of ratemaking taxes reported to federal, state, local, and foreign regulatory bodies; and, where necessary, assisting in litigating tax positions. The Tax Department evaluates and quantifies the impacts of tax legislation and regulatory decisions and proposals. The group is also responsible for preparing quarterly tax sections of the FERC form regulatory filings and interfacing with internal and external auditors on tax accounting issues.
- Tax accounting is responsible for the reconciliation and integrity of all tax accounts, tax accounting research for the accounting for income taxes under GAAP, management reporting of tax issues, balance sheet, income statement, and cash flow account analytics, and income tax related disclosures in our SEC filings.

Allocation of Costs
The Tax Department's costs, including the VP of Tax, are allocated using an average based on an annual time estimate from every staff member. This causal-beneficial allocation method is referred to as "CB - Tax."

| CB - Tax | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 26\% | 29\% |
|  |  | 26\% | 29\% |
|  |  | 48\% | 42\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## 4. Treasury (A-4)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) |  | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services | Provided | Base Year 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | Forecast 2019 | Base Year 2016 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| A-4.1 | 1100-0112-VP \& TREASURER | 536 | 27 | 563 | 220 | 91 | 311 |
| A-4.2 | 1100-0119-FINANCE | 893 | 2 | 894 | 357 | 90 | 447 |
| A-4.3 | 1100-0113-CASH MANAGEMENT | 1,006 | 19 | 1,025 | 770 | 12 | 781 |
| A-4.4 | 1100-0120-PENSIONS \& TRUSTS | 646 | (169) | 477 | 559 | (101) | 458 |
| A-4.5 | 1100-0052-PROJECT FINANCE | 623 | 16 | 639 | - | - | - |
| A-4.6 | 1100-0016-MAJOR PROJECTS CONTROLS \& ANALYSIS | 120 | (120) |  | 1 | (1) | - |
| A-4.7 | 1100-0017-BUSINESS PLANNING AND CONTROLS | 946 | 138 | 1,083 | 823 | 135 | 958 |
| A-4.8 | 1100-0018-BUSINESS PLANNING AND PROJECT CONTROLS | 843 | (140) | 704 | 695 | (74) | 621 |
| A-4.9 | 1100-0187-STRATEGIC PROJECTS | 706 | (706) | - | - | - | - |
| A-4.10 | 1100-0224-CORPORATE CASH MANAGEMENT FEES | 1,353 | 79 | 1,432 | 1,096 | 157 | 1,253 |
| A-4.11 | 1100-0225-CORPORATE TRUSTEE \& RATING AGENCY FEES | 18,799 | $(2,012)$ | 16,787 | 2,911 | (4) | 2,908 |
| A-4.12 | 1100-0162-INTERNATIONAL-SOUTH AMERICA | 604 | (604) |  | - | - |  |
| A-4.13 | 1100-0059-SVP CORPORATE DEVELOPMENT | 1,643 | $(1,643)$ | - | - | - |  |
| A-4.14 | 1100-0392-CORPORATE DEVELOPMENT/M\&A | 844 | 106 | 950 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | \$29,561 | $(\$ 5,007)$ | \$24,554 | \$7,432 | \$305 | \$7,737 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | SDG\&E | 3,823 | 17 | 3,841 |  |  | 4,001 |
| So Cal Gas Total Utility |  | 3,609 | 288 | 3,897 |  |  | 4,020 |
|  |  | 7,432 | 305 | 7,737 |  |  | \$8,021 |
| Global / Retained Total |  | 22,130 | $(5,313)$ | 16,817 |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$29,561 | $(\$ 5,007)$ | \$24,554 |  |  |  |

Corporate Treasury is responsible for Corporate Finance, Cash Management, Pensions \& Investments, Project Finance, the Business Planning and Project Controls function, and Corporate Development/Mergers and Acquisitions.

- Corporate Finance and Cash Management collectively manage the liquidity needs and overall capital structure of the Sempra Energy companies, which includes maintaining adequate liquidity through committed bank lines and cash-on-hand, issuance of short-term debt via commercial paper programs, and the issuance and redemption of long-term debt and equity. These groups oversee day-to-day banking
and investment activities and maintain relationships with commercial and investment banks and credit rating agencies. These functions are centralized at the Corporate Center for efficiencies in volume, management oversight, standardization, and compliance. Credit and banking fees are a significant cost, necessary for backstop liquidity, short-term financing, and the high volume of banking transactions involved in utility revenue operations. Most lines of credit are arranged for and directly assigned to the business unit they benefit. Trustee fees and rating agency fees are necessary costs in the marketing of new long-term financings, and include fees for Standard \& Poor's, Moody's, and Fitch Rating Services as well as other holding and remarketing expenses. Interest expense on debt for business units is not included in these $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ charges.
- The Pensions and Investments group is responsible for managing Sempra Energy's long-term asset portfolios including qualified and non-qualified retirement plan assets and nuclear decommissioning trust funds.
- Project Finance prepares analysis and structuring proposals as relevant, for long-term non-recourse financing of specific projects such as renewable energy projects and other infrastructure such as pipelines, liquefied natural gas facilities and power plants. These costs are retained at the Corporate Center and/or allocated to Global entities with no allocations to the Utilities.
- Business Planning and Controls and Business Planning and Project Controls support the implementation of standardized processes and reporting capabilities across the Sempra Energy business units. As a supporting function, the group provides project control assistance, particularly in cost, schedule, and risk management for major capital projects (generally, projects that are $\$ 100$ million or greater). Additionally, the group provides project management training, as well as project financial and reporting tools development.
- The Corporate Development/Mergers and Acquisitions handles merger and acquisition analysis services, primarily for the Global business units. None of the costs associated with this group are allocated to the Utilities.


## Allocation of Costs

Fees for short- and long-term financing, bank line of credit, and trustee and rating agencies are all assigned directly to the business units for which they are contracted. Fees associated with Sempra Energy financings are retained and not allocated to business units.

The Corporate Finance cost center estimates percentages of effort for the business units based on overall activity level and projects requiring financing or advisory work, resulting in allocating costs using a method called "CB - Treasury."

|  |  | Allocation Rates |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| CB - Treasury | SDG\&E | 2016 |  | 2019 |  |
|  |  | $20 \%$ |  | $25 \%$ |  |
|  | SoCalGas | $20 \%$ |  | $25 \%$ |  |
|  | Global / Retained | $60 \%$ |  | $50 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |

Cash Management services are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" method, as the cost center supports operational functions at all business units. Daily cash management services support various functions including payroll, accounts payable, gas purchases, and wire transfers.


The Pension and Investments group uses a causal-beneficial allocation method based on the present value of each utility's pension funds, referred to as "CB - Pension."

| CB - Pension | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 43\% | 42\% |
|  |  | 53\% | 54\% |
|  |  | 4\% | 4\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

Business Planning and Controls and Business Planning and Project Controls use a causalbeneficial allocation method based on the estimated percentage of direct labor hours spent working on projects to be financed for each business unit, referred to as "CB - Projects."

| CB - Projects | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 57\% | 60\% |
|  |  | 26\% | 29\% |
|  |  | 17\% | 11\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

As with other officer positions that oversee a variety of functions, a weighted average of the allocation methodologies used by each cost center reporting to the Treasurer was developed. This allocation is referred to as "VP -Treasurer."

| VP - Treasurer |  | Allocati | Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | 32\% | 32\% |
|  |  | 22\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 46\% | 44\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

5. Investor Relations (A-5)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) <br> Services Provided | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| A-5.1 1100-0375-VP INVESTOR RELATIONS | 565 | 17 | 581 | 406 | 38 | 443 |
| A-5.2 1100-0042-INVESTOR RELATIONS | 1,653 | (21) | 1,632 | 1,262 | (15) | 1,248 |
| Total | \$2,218 | (\$4) | \$2,214 | \$1,668 | \$23 | \$1,691 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 795 | (18) | 778 |  |  | 822 |
| So Cal Gas | 873 | 41 | 913 |  |  | 964 |
| Total Utility | 1,668 | 23 | 1,691 |  |  | \$1,786 |
| Global / Retained | 550 | (27) | 523 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$2,218 | (\$4) | \$2,214 |  |  |  |

The Investor Relations department's primary objective is to facilitate the flow of information and dialogue with investors to ensure they have the tools to evaluate fundamental value. This in turn helps Sempra Energy raise equity capital when needed at the lowest possible cost for the Utilities and Global business units. The department maintains regular
communication with securities analysts, shareholders, and the financial community through a variety of means, such as conferences, meetings, and internet updates. This department is also responsible for communicating Sempra Energy's financial goals and results and relaying financial market information to Corporate Center and business unit senior management. In addition, the department is responsible for maintaining the outside stock transfer agent relationships and responding to shareholder inquiries.

## Allocation of Costs

Costs are allocated using "Multi-Factor Basic," as the activities of Investor Relations benefits all business units.

| Multi-Factor Basic |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 37\% | 35\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 40\% | 41\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

6. Internal Audit Services and Risk Management (A-6)

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| A-6.1 1100-0349-VP AUDIT SERVICES \& RISK MANAGEMENT | 420 | 38 | 459 | 355 | (81) | 275 |
| A-6.2 1100-0041-AUDIT SERVICES | 4,429 | 727 | 5,156 | 3,680 | 536 | 4,216 |
| A-6.3 1100-0453-AUDIT - LATIN AMERICA | 1,480 | 142 | 1,622 | 10 | (10) | - |
| A-6.4 1100-0390-SOX COMPLIANCE | 616 | 308 | 924 | 257 | 35 | 292 |
| A-6.5 1100-0114-INSURANCE \& RISK ADVISORY | 799 | (2) | 797 | 593 | 15 | 607 |
| A-6.6 1100-0121-RISK MANAGEMENT | 753 | (89) | 664 | 75 | (9) | 66 |
| Total | \$8,497 | \$1,125 | \$9,622 | \$4,970 | \$487 | \$5,457 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 2,662 | 15 | 2,677 |  |  | 2,877 |
| So Cal Gas | 2,308 | 472 | 2,780 |  |  | 2,988 |
| Total Utility | 4,970 | 487 | 5,457 |  |  | \$5,865 |
| Global / Retained | 3,527 | 638 | 4,165 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$8,497 | \$1,125 | \$9,622 |  |  |  |

The Internal Audit Services and Risk Management department is centralized at Corporate Center for all business units. This department is responsible for internal audits, Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) compliance, risk management, and insurance and risk advisory.

- Audit Services: The types of engagements conducted include general controls reviews in operational, financial, and information systems areas, as well as conducting testing on behalf of management for SOX compliance. The group is
charged with performing independent evaluations of risk and business controls as well as identifying solutions to business control issues. It issues reports on the effectiveness and efficiency of operations, economical and efficient use of resources, security of assets, reliability and integrity of financial information, and compliance with applicable policies, plans, procedures, laws, and regulations. The Audit Services department also performs environmental, health, and safety audits to evaluate controls and compliance with internal policies and procedures and regulatory requirements.
- The SOX Compliance function coordinates SOX activities for Corporate Center and Global, and facilitates communication among compliance departments at all business units with Audit Services, senior management, and external auditors.
- Risk Management manages Energy Risk and Credit Risk for Sempra Energy's nonregulated subsidiaries and performs Dodd-Frank aggregation and compliance work for all business units. The group also facilitates the identification, assessment, and monitoring of aggregated risk impacts.
- Insurance and Risk Advisory directs the corporate insurance program, including the property/casualty self-insurance program, and risk control activities for property/casualty for the Sempra Energy companies. Activities in purchasing and managing the corporate-wide insurance program involve bond procurement, contract review, and risk assessments for the Sempra Energy companies. For a description and forecast of direct costs of Property and Liability insurance, see Neil Cayabyab's testimony (Ex. SDG\&E-27/SCG-29).


## Allocation of Costs

Allocation of costs for the VP of Audit Services and Risk Management is based on the weighted average of the annual labor budget for departments that report to the VP. The hours attributed to Corporate Center audits are re-allocated using the Multi-Factor Basic method to result in a blended percentage for each business unit. This allocation method is referred to as "VP-Risk."


Allocation of costs for the Audit Services group is based on the annual Audit Plan, but excludes hours for Mexico and South America entities (Latin America). This allocation method is referred to as "Audit Plan US." Costs associated with Latin America are not allocated to the Utilities.

| Audit Plan US | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 42\% | 40\% |
|  |  | 39\% | 42\% |
|  |  | 19\% | 18\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

The allocation of costs for SOX Compliance is based on an annual time study of the weighted average of the workload of each employee within the group. Corporate Center hours are reallocated using Multi-Factor Basic, resulting in a blended percentage. This causalbeneficial allocation method is referred to as "CB - SOX."

| CB - SOX | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 14\% | 16\% |
|  |  | 15\% | 16\% |
|  |  | 71\% | 68\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

Risk Management estimates the percentage of effort for the business units based on significant projects, a method called "CB - Risk."

| CB - Risk |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 90\% | 90\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

Insurance and Risk Advisory services are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" method, as they support operational functions across all business units.

| Multi-Factor Basic |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 37\% | 35\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 40\% | 41\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## 7. Financial Leadership Program (A-7)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| A-7.1 1100-0340-ACCOUNTING \& FINANCE ROTATION | 1,208 | 206 | 1,414 | 706 | 93 | 799 |
| Total | \$1,208 | \$206 | \$1,414 | \$706 | \$93 | \$799 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 495 | 16 | 511 |  |  | 554 |
| So Cal Gas | 211 | 77 | 287 |  |  | 311 |
| Total Utility | 706 | 93 | 799 |  |  | \$865 |
| Global / Retained | 502 | 114 | 616 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$1,208 | \$206 | \$1,414 |  |  |  |

The Financial Leadership Program (FLP) is an important way in which Sempra Energy attracts and develops talented accounting and finance staff. Using a multi-year rotation plan, new recruits are given exposure to the areas of audit, tax, accounting, and finance before having the opportunity to apply for available positions at the end of their three-year rotations.

## Allocation of Costs

FLP allocations are based on a weighted average of the employees in the Program based on the business units they support. Corporate Center assignments are reallocated using MultiFactor Basic, resulting in a blended percentage.

| FLP |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 41\% | 36\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 17\% | 20\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 42\% | 44\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## B. Legal, Compliance, and Governance

This division includes the office of the Executive Vice President and General Counsel, the Corporate Center Law Department (CCLD), and Governance, including Compliance, Corporate Secretary, Board of Directors, and the Sempra Energy Executives. The division provides legal, compliance, and governance services to all Sempra Energy companies and coordinates the retention and oversight of outside law firms, including the negotiation of outside legal fee arrangements.

TABLE MLD-4B
Legal, Compliance, and Governance Summary of Costs

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| B-1 Legal Services | 40,929 | 10,299 | 51,228 | 21,149 | $(2,985)$ | 18,163 |
| B-2 Compliance and Governance | 5,806 | 1,230 | 7,036 | 4,014 | 1,351 | 5,365 |
| B-3 Executive | 4,194 | (115) | 4,079 | - | - | - |
| Total | \$50,929 | \$11,414 | \$62,344 | \$25,162 | (\$1,634) | \$23,528 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 14,842 | $(3,928)$ | 10,914 |  |  | 11,282 |
| So Cal Gas | 10,321 | 2,294 | 12,614 |  |  | 13,072 |
| Total Utility | 25,162 | $(1,634)$ | 23,528 |  |  | \$24,354 |
| Global / Retained | 25,767 | 13,049 | 38,816 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$50,929 | \$11,414 | \$62,344 |  |  |  |

Legal, Compliance, and Governance costs assigned to SDG\&E and SoCalGas overall are forecasted to decrease by $\$ 1.1$ million from BY 2016 to TY 2019. This decrease is primarily due to overall lower outside legal fees and services, taking into account the realized trend for the last five years and excluding outside legal fees for matters for SoCalGas (Aliso Canyon, Sesnon, and Pengzuan Diao) and SDG\&E (SONGS, Sunrise, Wildfires, and Rim Rock) not requested in this GRC application.

However, shareholder activism continues to increase, resulting in higher costs for services related to the Sempra Energy Board of Directors, which partially offsets the lower outside legal costs.

## \$-millions

\$ (1.8) Non-recurring legal fees \& services

## (0.7) Outside Legal

0.6 Board of Director fees \& services
0.3 Other - primarily consulting fees
$\$ \quad(1.6)$

## 1. Legal Services (B-1)

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | 2016-2019 Incr/(Decr) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| B-1.1 1100-0141-EXECUTIVE VP \& GENERAL COUNSEL | 837 | (15) | 822 | 495 | 116 | 611 |
| B-1.2 1100-0144-LEGAL | 7,720 | (406) | 7,314 | 5,239 | (3) | 5,236 |
| B-1.3 1100-0145-OUTSIDE LEGAL | 32,305 | 10,787 | 43,092 | 15,414 | $(3,098)$ | 12,316 |
| B-1.4 1100-0146-GAS INFRASTRUCTURE SPECIAL COUNSEL | 67 | (67) | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$40,929 | \$10,299 | \$51,228 | \$21,149 | (\$2,985) | \$18,163 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 12,909 | $(4,480)$ | 8,429 |  |  | 8,646 |
| So Cal Gas | 8,240 | 1,494 | 9,734 |  |  | 10,017 |
| Total Utility | 21,149 | $(2,985)$ | 18,163 |  |  | \$18,663 |
| Global / Retained | 19,781 | 13,285 | 33,065 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$40,929 | \$10,299 | \$51,228 |  |  |  |

The General Counsel is responsible for Sempra Energy's legal affairs and compliance oversight. The General Counsel oversees a broad range of legal issues that impact SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and the other Sempra Energy business units. The General Counsel also oversees the work of all Sempra Energy lawyers, the hiring and retention of outside counsel, and provides legal advice to Sempra Energy management and the Sempra Energy Board of Directors. The General Counsel also serves on the Boards of Directors of SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and certain other Sempra Energy business units as permitted.

## Allocation of Costs

Costs for the Executive VP and General Counsel are allocated using a weighted average of the diverse allocation methodologies used by each department within the Legal, Compliance, and Governance division. This allocation average is referred to as "EVP - Legal."

| EVP - Legal |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 34\% | 35\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 37\% | 38\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 29\% | 27\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

As described in more detail below, CCLD lawyers offer services in the areas of litigation, labor and employment law, environmental law, commercial law, and corporate law, including real estate, mergers and acquisitions, financing, and securities matters. The CCLD offers legal expertise in areas of the law not covered by lawyers operating within the individual business unit law departments, thus avoiding the need to pay for outside counsel to handle such matters or hire more lawyers for each business unit. Additionally, CCLD lawyers are available to provide peak legal capacity when the business unit lawyers are unable to take on new matters. This avoids the need to hire additional business unit lawyers or outside counsel when the volume of legal work peaks, which it often does during various times throughout any given year. CCLD also coordinates the retention and oversight of outside law firms for all the business units. From this coordinating position, CCLD is best able to leverage cost-effective outside legal fee arrangements that the business units by themselves would not be able to arrange.

CCLD lawyers are available to provide legal advice and support to Sempra Energy business units, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas, and represent them in specific, unique legal matters. CCLD is responsible for the delivery, quality, and cost of the legal services it provides to the Sempra Energy business units. As described below, CCLD comprises lawyers and support staff providing cost-effective legal support in the areas of Litigation, Labor and Employment, Environmental, and Commercial and Corporate.

## a. Litigation, Labor and Employment

CCLD litigation lawyers represent SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and other Sempra Energy business units in litigation matters arising primarily from disputes in the areas of commercial law and tort law. CCLD litigation lawyers are available to provide advice to assist SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and other Sempra Energy business units in avoiding or minimizing disputes. CCLD litigation lawyers also advise SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and other Sempra Energy business units in the area of corporate compliance.

CCLD labor and employment lawyers provide legal advice and counsel to SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and other Sempra Energy business units in human resource-related matters, including employee relations, compensation and benefits, discrimination, employee conduct and ethics, and compliance with the myriad state and federal laws and regulations applicable to employers. CCLD labor and employment lawyers also support confidential investigations and responses to human relations issues raised on employee calls to the Ethics and Compliance Help Line; represent SDG\&E and SoCalGas in relations with unions on matters arising under collective bargaining agreements; and represent all Sempra Energy business units, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas, in employment-related litigation in state and federal courts, as well as in proceedings before state and federal labor agencies such as Cal-OSHA, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the Office of Federal Contract Compliance, and the National Labor Relations Board.

## b. Environmental

An environmental lawyer within CCLD is available to provide advice and counsel on various matters that may impact SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and other Sempra Energy business units.

CCLD's environmental lawyer is available to provide services to SDG\&E and SoCalGas in the areas of air quality, water quality, waste management, site assessment and mitigation, endangered species protection, and project review under the National Environmental Policy Act and the California Environmental Quality Act.

## c. Commercial and Corporate

Commercial lawyers within CCLD are available to provide advice and counsel to the Sempra Energy business units, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas, on various commercial matters. This includes drafting agreements and contracts, periodically reviewing commercial practices and standard form agreements, and representing the Sempra Energy business units, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas, in commercial negotiations and transactions in the areas of advertising and communications, real estate, utility operations, energy efficiency, franchises, gas and electricity supply, general services, intellectual property, technology, licenses, marketing, materials management, joint ventures, Natural Gas Vehicle development, energy law, research, design, and development, rights of way, transmission, underground gas storage, political law, and transactions with women, minority, and disabled veterans business enterprises. Preventive counseling is also provided in the areas of antitrust, legal compliance, and political law.

The CCLD corporate lawyers are available to provide advice and counsel on certain corporate and finance matters, including infrastructure development, mergers and acquisitions, project finance, securities issuances, corporate governance, investor relations, and public disclosures, including SEC matters and other finance-related matters of significance to SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and the other Sempra Energy business units.

As discussed above, when neither the business unit law department nor CCLD can provide necessary legal services, outside counsel is retained. Typically, this involves matters that require a level of resources or an area of expertise not available within CCLD or the business unit law departments. In selecting outside counsel, CCLD seeks to achieve quality and cost-effectiveness and to increase its use of women- and minority-owned law firms. The retention and oversight of outside legal services are coordinated by CCLD, at the direction of the General Counsel, for the business unit law departments, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas, and the costs for such outside legal services are directly-assigned based on the matter. Legal matters and needed services can vary from year to year. The TY 2019 forecast of outside legal costs, shown in table B-1 above, is based on a trend method that uses recorded expense levels going back five years through the 2016 base year, adjusted for any non-recoverable matters or those considered significant and non-recurring.

Table B-1 shows the base year 2016 costs for CCLD (comprising General Counsel, Law Department, and Outside Legal costs) and the TY 2019 forecast for such costs. This table also shows how such costs are allocated to SDG\&E and SoCalGas.

## Allocation of Costs

Labor and all other costs not otherwise directly assigned, such as support staff, supplies, and law library, are allocated based upon a ratio of time spent on matters for each business unit, as computed by a timekeeping system used by CCLD lawyers and para-professional staff. The allocation rates are updated monthly to ensure that all departmental costs flow to business units based on the most recent activity by matter. Forecast rates rely on historical activity from the 2016 base year. The non-labor costs relate to legal resources that are common yet essential in the work performed by lawyers and staff. Legal research tools and supplies, for example, are used daily. The CCLD cost center allocation uses the "CB - Law" method.

|  |  | Allocation Rates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CB - Law | SDG\&E | 2016 |  |  |
|  |  | $35 \%$ |  | 3019 |
|  | SoCalGas | $37 \%$ |  | $39 \%$ |
|  | Global / Retained | $28 \%$ |  | $28 \%$ |
|  |  | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |

Outside legal fees are directly assigned by matter to the business unit(s) involved, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas. Invoiced costs such as travel, expert witnesses, CPUC, FERC and state or federal court fees, courier services, and other non-professional legal services are also directly assigned. Costs associated with certain corporate matters, not otherwise directlyassigned to SDG\&E, SoCalGas, or other Sempra Energy business units, are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" methodology. Also, as noted above, TY 2019 excludes outside legal fees for matters for SoCalGas (Aliso Canyon, Sesnon, and Pengzuan Diao) and SDG\&E (SONGS, Sunrise, Wildfires, and Rim Rock) not requested in this GRC application.

## 2. Compliance and Governance (B-2)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| B-2.1 1100-0150-VP COMPLIANCE \& GOVERNANCE | 330 | 721 | 1,050 | 232 | 568 | 801 |
| B-2.2 1100-0348-CORPORATE COMPLIANCE | 1,230 | 152 | 1,383 | 968 | 87 | 1,054 |
| B-2.3 1100-0143-CORPORATE GOVERNANCE | 1,129 | 75 | 1,204 | 791 | 126 | 918 |
| B-2.4 1100-0004-BOARD OF DIRECTORS | 3,117 | 282 | 3,399 | 2,022 | 569 | 2,592 |
| B-2.5 1100-0006-COMPLIANCE | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$5,806 | \$1,230 | \$7,036 | \$4,014 | \$1,351 | \$5,365 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 1,933 | 552 | 2,485 |  |  | 2,636 |
| So Cal Gas | 2,081 | 799 | 2,880 |  |  | 3,056 |
| Total Utility | 4,014 | 1,351 | 5,365 |  |  | \$5,691 |
| Global / Retained | 1,792 | (121) | 1,671 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$5,806 | \$1,230 | \$7,036 |  |  |  |

For purposes of this testimony, certain functions are grouped together as "governance" because they represent the highest level of leadership of Sempra Energy. Compliance and Governance is included, as it reports to the Board of Directors and senior Sempra Energy management on a broad range of risk and business controls and compliance issues relating to policies, plans, procedures, laws, and regulations.

The Compliance and Governance department provides leadership and partners with staff at all Sempra Energy companies and includes two primary functions: (1) Business Conduct Programs and (2) Emergency Preparedness.

Business Conduct Programs includes management and oversight of compliance risk assessment, development and maintenance of Sempra Energy's Employee Business Conduct Guidelines, Corporate Code of Conduct, and Supplier Code of Conduct programs, corporate policy maintenance, records management and retention programs, coordination of affiliate compliance programs, compliance and ethics education, and communication, including webbased training. This area also manages the scope, design, development, and implementation of programs that integrate into existing business systems.

The Compliance and Governance department's emergency preparedness duties include oversight and monitoring of corporate business resumption, emergency (CPR, First Aid) training, management of the crisis management center, consolidated safety performance tracking and reporting to the Board, and oversight of corporate emergency preparedness drills.

These activities contribute to an ethical and legally compliant business culture at Sempra Energy, where employees are committed to act ethically, and are provided the tools and resources to comply with all laws and regulations and a productive work environment where ethical and compliance concerns may be raised without fear of retribution.

The Office of the Corporate Secretary oversees the corporate governance of Sempra Energy and each major business unit within Sempra Energy, including SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and the Global businesses. Among other things, it supports Boards of Directors of Sempra Energy and of its businesses by coordinating board meetings, providing guidance on board action items, and overseeing the general organizational structure. The Office of the Corporate Secretary also has primary responsibility for coordinating and overseeing Sempra Energy's annual meeting of shareholders and management of Sempra Energy's subsidiary governance functions.

The Sempra Energy Board of Directors is a shareholder-elected body responsible for overseeing the management of the corporation's overall business and affairs.

A CCLD corporate lawyer also supervises the formation of new companies, the preparation of written consents and minutes of meetings, and other general corporate housekeeping matters on behalf of Sempra Energy and its subsidiaries, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas.

Allocation of Costs
The Corporate Compliance and Governance department directly assigns costs to business units for compliance assessments and specific programs. All other costs for Compliance and Governance activities are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" method.

| Multi-Factor Basic | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 37\% | 35\% |
|  |  | 40\% | 41\% |
|  |  | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## 3. Executive (B-3)



The Executive department consists of the Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Sempra Energy, as well as the Sempra Energy Group Presidents. These executives provide high-level leadership and governance to the operations and finances of all Sempra Energy companies. Also included are some miscellaneous costs associated with retired executives. None of the costs associated with the Executive department are allocated to the Utilities. They are all retained at Corporate Center.

## C. Human Resources and Administration

The Human Resources and Administration division at Corporate Center develops corporate-wide policies, procedures and programs that apply to the entire Sempra Energy
companies' workforce. It also provides services not found in Sempra Energy's subsidiary organizations, related to the support and maintenance of Sempra Energy's employees, which Sempra Energy considers its most important asset. This division also oversees Sempra Energy's information technology activities, including corporate systems, physical security, and cybersecurity.

TABLE MLD-4C
Human Resources and Administration Summary of Costs


The costs for Human Resources and Administration assigned to SDG\&E and SoCalGas are forecasted to increase by $\$ 6.3$ million from BY 2016 to TY 2019. The increase is primarily due to higher consulting and contract labor fees related to the implementation of our new Human Capital Management system and higher payroll processing fees.

## $\$$ - millions

\$ 2.2 Information Security Staffing

### 1.7 Higher consulting fees \& contract labor

0.7 Computer hardware and software
0.6 Training and travel
0.5 ADP processing fees
0.4 Security \& Disaster Recovery

|  | 0.2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | Other |

## 1. Senior VP Chief Human Resources and Administration (C-1)

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| C-1.1 1100-0122-SVP CHIEF HUMAN RESOURCES \& ADMINISTRATION OFFICER | 1,295 | 217 | 1,512 | 1,161 | 140 | 1,301 |
| Total | \$1,295 | \$217 | \$1,512 | \$1,161 | \$140 | \$1,301 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | Escalated 2019 |
| SDG\&E | 420 | 60 | 480 |  |  | 512 |
| So Cal Gas | 741 | 79 | 821 |  |  | 875 |
| Total Utility | 1,161 | 140 | 1,301 |  |  | \$1,386 |
| Global / Retained | 134 | 77 | 212 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$1,295 | \$217 | \$1,512 |  |  |  |

The Senior VP Chief Human Resources and Administration oversees and provides strategic direction and overall corporate policy guidance in the areas of compensation, benefits, HR information systems, diversity programs, workforce planning, leadership development, compliance training, corporate security, and cybersecurity. Under the Senior VP Chief Human Resources and Administration, this division is also responsible for the administration of all employee benefit plans, payroll, HR information systems, executive compensation plans, security functions, HR budgeting, and accounting/financial compliance related to employee retirement and benefit plans, including health and welfare, pension, and savings plans. In addition, the division directly supports the human resource needs of the Corporate Center including compensation administration, staffing, and employee relations. The Senior VP Chief Human Resources and Administration also serves as the Chief Ethics Officer, overseeing systems through which employees can communicate any potential ethical concerns.

## Allocation of Costs

Costs associated with the Senior VP Chief Human Resources and Administration is allocated using a weighted average of the diverse allocation methodologies used by each department within the division. This allocation average is referred to as "SVP - HR."

| SVP - HR |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 32\% | 31\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 50\% | 53\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 18\% | 16\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## 2. Compensation \& Benefits (C-2)

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| C-2.1 1100-0134-COMPENSATION \& BENEFITS | 1,170 | 161 | 1,331 | 1,092 | 175 | 1,267 |
| C-2.2 1100-0135-COMPENSATION SERVICES | 992 | 91 | 1,084 | 930 | 95 | 1,025 |
| C-2.3 1100-0136-EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION | 1,220 | 245 | 1,465 | 822 | 196 | 1,018 |
| C-2.4 1100-0137-HR ACCOUNTING, BUDGETS, \& COMPLIANCE | 835 | (5) | 831 | 773 | 18 | 791 |
| C-2.5 1100-0057-PAYROLL SERVICES | 393 | 13 | 406 | 368 | 19 | 387 |
| Total | \$4,611 | \$506 | \$5,116 | \$3,984 | \$503 | \$4,487 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 1,524 | 220 | 1,744 |  |  | 1,870 |
| So Cal Gas | 2,460 | 283 | 2,743 |  |  | 2,948 |
| Total Utility | 3,984 | 503 | 4,487 |  |  | \$4,818 |
| Global / Retained | 626 | 3 | 629 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$4,611 | \$506 | \$5,116 |  |  |  |

The Compensation \& Benefits department administers employee compensation and benefit programs, including compensation and benefits plan design, contract negotiations, vendor management, and cost control responsibilities.

## a. Compensation Services

Compensation Services provides strategic compensation planning services, corporate governance overview, and internal support services for all business units. It also develops pay policies applicable to wage and hour laws and recommends annual pay structures and salary planning budgets, to ensure competitive market pay. Corporate governance responsibilities include conducting federally required annual audits of pay practices to ensure compliance with the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs and auditing job classifications to ensure compliance with Fair Labor Standards Act and other applicable California State laws.

Compensation Services also provides consulting and support services that include maintaining salary survey databases to establish competitive pay for new and existing jobs, designing base pay and incentive plans, and leading major projects that impact pay systems. Examples of leading major projects include: developing, documenting, and testing HR information systems changes that apply to pay programs and pay administration; participating in total compensation studies related to GRC filings; and researching and costing of union negotiation proposals and contracts. These are corporate-wide services that are not conducted at the business unit HR level.

## b. Benefits Services

The Benefits Services Group (BSG) covers several important functions covering health and welfare plans and retirement (401(k) and pension) plans.

The BSG is responsible for designing, contracting, communicating, and ensuring compliance with all legal, regulatory, and union requirements affecting health and insurance plans for twenty-six medical plans, six dental plans, four vision plans, and five life insurance plans for nearly 13,000 employees and approximately 9,000 retirees.

Health and welfare plans are designed so that they are both competitive and cost effective. To negotiate the best rates available, the BSG uses the leverage created by Sempra Energy companies' total number of employees. Higher coverage levels at lower costs are achieved by negotiating on behalf of the total population of employees working for Sempra Energy rather than negotiating for each company, or business unit, on a separate basis.

In addition to designing, negotiating, and contracting for health and insurance benefits, the BSG is responsible for developing and producing enrollment information (for both new hires and the annual open enrollment) and the Summary Plan Descriptions required under the Employee Retirement Insurance Security Act (ERISA). The BSG is also responsible for ensuring compliance with all federal and state regulations governing health and insurance plans. This includes: making sure that plans are administered in accordance with plan documents and that all beneficiaries are treated fairly; advising HR in the business units of changes in requirements; and training HR personnel so they can educate supervisors.

The BSG also supports labor negotiations at SDG\&E and SoCalGas. This support includes: providing data to both labor and management; analyzing cost implications of various proposals; working with management to determine the acceptability of labor demands; and developing alternative proposals that generate an equitable balance between the benefit to employees versus the cost to the ratepayers.

The BSG is also responsible for plan design and implementation of and compliance with regulations of the pension and savings plans, and ensures strategic and cost competitive retirement benefits to employees as a component of total compensation. This group provides support and internal consulting to SDG\&E and SoCalGas, HR and labor advisors regarding benefit strategies, employee communications, and specific employee issues. The plans at

Sempra Energy and its subsidiaries include three savings plans and three defined benefit plans covering all of Sempra Energy's employees and retirees.

In addition, this group is responsible for daily management and administration of all plans in collaboration with other company departments, including HR, Legal, Tax, Investor Relations, Payroll, and IT. The BSG also supports the chief negotiating team during union negotiations with cost implications of various proposals and data requests and offers alternative design strategies on retirement benefits. Further, the group acts as the lead contact on Department of Labor and IRS audits for all plans as well as working with various financial groups on preparation of federal reporting and disclosure forms.

Moreover, the BSG acts as vendor manager for the plans' trustees, record keepers, consultants, and Sempra Energy's MyRetirement Service Center. It evaluates all service providers to the plans and recommends changes when appropriate, utilizing cost analysis and benchmarking.

The BSG also develops various communication strategies, which include conducting employee meetings on specific plan features and the retirement process in general, including financial education and estate planning meetings.

## c. HR Accounting \& Budgets

The HR Accounting \& Budgets group is responsible for all accounting, financial, and regulatory compliance activities related to Sempra Energy companies' compensation and benefit plans. The group reviews, analyzes, and processes all benefit plan payments including premiums, professional service fees, administrative charges, and other related expenses. The group also administers the distribution of employee pension, savings, and deferred compensation payments.

Additionally, the HR Accounting \& Budget group is responsible for various accounting and financial reporting activities associated with employee compensation, retirement, and benefit plans, including coordination with external actuaries, third-party administrators, trustees, and independent auditors. In addition, this group prepares and files tax returns for all retirement and benefits plans (Form 5500s), coordinates audits by various state and federal regulatory agencies, including the IRS and the Department of Labor, and maintains ERISA compliance services. The group also coordinates, prepares, and monitors the HR division operating budget and the pension and benefit budgets for all Sempra Energy companies. The group also evaluates the HR-related
financial and operational impact of all major business and regulatory issues, including for reorganizations and GRC proceedings.

Allocation of Costs
Compensation \& Benefits Administration, HR Accounting \& Budgets, and Payroll Services costs are allocated by the "CB - FTE" method, which appropriately reflects the level of service provided to each business unit based on active employees. The cost of Corporate Center FTEs is reallocated using the Multi-Factor Basic method.

| CB-FTE |  | Allocat | Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas | 32\% | 34\% |
|  |  | 62\% | 61\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 6\% | 5\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

For the Executive Compensation \& Benefits group, costs are allocated using a weighted combination of executive FTEs ( $75 \%$ ) and director-level FTEs ( $25 \%$ ) at all business units. This allocates costs to business units in proportion to the number of employees who benefit from the programs. This method is referred to as "FTE - Executive."

| FTE Executive | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 35\% | 35\% |
|  |  | 32\% | 34\% |
|  |  | 33\% | 31\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

3. Corporate Human Resources Staffing and Development (C-3)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| C-3.1 1100-0130-CORPORATE HR STAFFING \& DEVELOPMENT | 1,439 | 299 | 1,738 | 1,079 | 239 | 1,318 |
| Total | \$1,439 | \$299 | \$1,738 | \$1,079 | \$239 | \$1,318 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 518 | 93 | 610 |  |  | 653 |
| So Cal Gas | 561 | 146 | 707 |  |  | 757 |
| Total Utility | 1,079 | 239 | 1,318 |  |  | \$1,410 |
| Global / Retained | 360 | 61 | 420 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$1,439 | \$299 | \$1,738 |  |  |  |

The Corporate Human Resources Staffing and Development function is responsible for day-to-day employee relations, staffing, and recruiting for Corporate Center. The department provides a broad range of human resources advisory and support services to all Corporate Center functions that provide shared services to SDG\&E and SoCalGas.

This department's activities include HR policy interpretation, performance management, employee discipline, career counseling, salary administration, and processing terminating employees from the Corporate Center. The team is responsible for external and internal staffing, including college recruiting and relationships. In connection with those recruiting activities, the team pursues Equal Employment Opportunity/Affirmative Action Plan objectives, new employee orientation, and employee applicant processing.

Corporate Human Resources Staffing and Development provides additional development courses in communication skills, management skills, personal work effectiveness, energy industry knowledge, and financial skills. Skill training is provided with respect to topics considered key to overall company success. Classroom and on-line training is provided to employees to educate them about some of the most important aspects of Sempra Energy's industry to assist employees in adhering to legal and regulatory requirements.

## Allocation of Costs

Costs associated with corporate human resources staffing and development are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" method. This function provides services to all areas of the Corporate Center that in turn serve the Sempra Energy companies, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas.

| Multi-Factor Basic |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 37\% | 35\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 40\% | 41\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## 4. CIO, Corporate Systems, and Security (C-4)

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) |  | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| C-4.1 | 1100-0276-CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER | - | 512 | 512 | - | 487 | 487 |
| C-4.2 | 1100-0383-MY INFO SERVICES | 7,326 | 1,110 | 8,436 | 6,864 | 1,184 | 8,048 |
| C-4.3 | 1100-0043-SECURITY SERVICES | 86 | 2 | 89 | 26 | (2) | 25 |
| C-4.4 | 1100-0275-EXECUTIVE SECURITY | 778 | 31 | 809 | 173 | 9 | 182 |
| C-4.5 | 1100-0133-CORPORATE SECURITY | 2,494 | 816 | 3,310 | 2,125 | 705 | 2,830 |
| C-4.6 | 1100-0170-INFO SECURITY OPS CENTER | - | 1,074 | 1,074 | - | 1,022 | 1,022 |
| C-4.7 | 1100-0075-SEMPRA ENERGY CYBERSECURITY | - | 248 | 248 | - | 236 | 236 |
| C-4.8 | 1100-0269-IS STRATEGY, GOVERNANCE \& ARCHITECTURE | - | 1,294 | 1,294 | - | 1,232 | 1,232 |
| C-4.9$\mathrm{C}-4.10$ | 1100-0138-EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT \& BUSINESS RESUMPTION | - | 155 | 155 | - | 148 | 148 |
|  | 1100-0372-IS GOVERNANCE, AWARENESS \& OVERSIGHT | - | 404 | 404 | - | 385 | 385 |
| Total |  | \$10,685 | \$5,646 | \$16,331 | \$9,188 | \$5,406 | \$14,594 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E |  | 3,280 | 1,891 | 5,171 |  |  | 5,502 |
| So Cal Gas Total Utility |  | 5,908 | 3,515 | 9,423 |  |  | 10,023 |
|  |  | 9,188 | 5,406 | 14,594 |  |  | \$15,525 |
| Global / Retained Total |  | 1,497 | 240 | 1,738 |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$10,685 | \$5,646 | \$16,331 |  |  |  |

The CIO, Corporate Systems, and Security (CCSS) department is responsible for the development and management of programs and policies for security systems, security investigations, and workplace violence avoidance, as well as crisis and security risk management services. The CCSS department also jointly investigates violations of cyber security policy and potential cyber-crimes together with the Utilities’ Information Security \& Information Security Compliance department. The CCSS department has also newly established the Office of the CIO which has responsibility for enterprise strategy, architecture, and innovation, and vendor management. The costs associated with activities of the Office of the CIO are included above in Chief Information Officer, Info Security Ops Center, Sempra Energy Cybersecurity, IS Strategy, Governance \& Architecture, Emergency Management \& Business Resumption and IS Governance, Awareness \& Oversight cost centers.

## a. MyInfo Services

The MyInfo Services group provides HR and payroll system support, maintains employee databases, and develops management information systems and reports for SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and all other Sempra Energy companies. The MyInfo reporting function is critical to financial, legal, and regulatory processes that involve employee data; compiling and analyzing employee trends and forecasts; monitoring employee data integrity to ensure the accuracy in the administration of compensation and benefit programs; and providing Sempra Energy emergency operations centers with profiles of employee data by location, ensuring relevant contact information is included. This group is also responsible for the evaluation, design, and
implementation of new systems and enhancements to existing applications, including utilization of internet/intranet functionality; overseeing the service center that responds to employee inquiries regarding payroll and benefits; monitoring ancillary payroll services, including garnishments, tuition reimbursements, and charitable contributions; managing vendor relationships for payroll processing and human resource systems; and limiting access to confidential employee data through the design and monitoring of key data security system controls.

Compliance with evolving regulations and laws such as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) as it relates to the confidentiality and security of employee data is also required. Compliance is especially critical given heightened concerns about, and increasing incidences of, identity theft and fraud. As employees are given more direct access to confidential information, there will be an even greater need to protect data.

Accordingly, new employee self-service applications and web-based systems require sophisticated and complex security measures and controls to ensure confidentiality of personal data and protection of employee rights to privacy.

## Allocation of Costs

Costs associated with MyInfo Services are allocated based on the number of employee records attributed to each business unit, including those on disability or other leave of absence, who remain tracked in system reports. This allocation method is known as "CB - MyInfo."

| CB - MyInfo | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 31\% | 34\% |
|  |  | 60\% | 62\% |
|  |  | 9\% | 4\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## b. CCSS

The CCSS department investigates special situations that require professional and confidential expertise, for example, in the investigation of crimes, and reports findings to management. This department maintains ongoing relationships with local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies on issues of importance to SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and other business units. CCSS distributes daily intelligence briefings to key members of management; communicates
assessments of crime, terrorism, and political instability; develops company-wide, cost-effective policies and programs dedicated to business loss prevention and reduction of exposure to security risk; and provides security systems and security risk assessment services.

Sempra Energy companies are continuously involved in maintaining, evaluating, and updating employee and physical security systems. These activities include upgrading access control systems; centralizing the administration of the access control system; hiring trained guards; installing new x-ray machines for evaluating packages as needed; redesigning employee identification/access badges; conducting background checks; and installing new security systems at key operating facilities such as compressor stations, Sempra Energy's Mission Control, the Corporate Security Operations Center, the Information Systems Data Center, and branch offices throughout SDG\&E and SoCalGas service territories. Many of these security enhancements have been implemented to comply with mandatory North American Electric Reliability Corporation Critical Infrastructure Protection standards (the NERC CIP Standards), which include requirements to install and maintain additional security equipment and to implement processes and procedures to ensure compliance.

The Office of the CIO is responsible for developing and maintaining the enterprise technology strategy which sets the technology direction for Sempra Energy. The enterprise architecture function is responsible for defining the technology architecture required to support the technology strategy. The technology architecture is used to govern the selection, deployment, and use of applications, infrastructure, and tools to consistently enable reliable, secure, and efficient business operations. The vendor management function provides governance over technology sourcing strategies to provide Sempra Energy and its subsidiaries with the optimal vendor relationships and licensing arrangements to achieve desired business outcomes, including best pricing, and optimizing diverse business enterprise spend.

## Allocation of Costs

For security-related costs, CCSS uses a causal-beneficial allocation referred to as "CB Security," which is based on FTEs per business unit, excluding the cost of Headquarters (HQ) Guard Services. HQ Guard Service costs are allocated separately based on FTEs occupying the headquarters location.

| CB - Security |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $2016$ | $2019$ |
|  | SDG\&E | 31\% | 32\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 56\% | 54\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 13\% | 14\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

Security services for senior executives and the Board of Directors are essential in the current volatile and competitive environment to protect the interests of all business units. These costs are allocated based on an estimate of usage ( $1 / 4$ to the Utilities, $1 / 4$ to Global, and $1 / 2$ retained at Corporate Center). This method is referred to as "CB - Security Exec."

|  |  | Allocation Rates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 2016 |  | 2019 |
|  |  |  | $13 \%$ |  |

CCSS costs related to the Office of the CIO are allocated by the "CB - FTE" method, which appropriately reflects the level of service provided to each business unit based on active employees. The cost of Corporate Center FTEs is reallocated using the Multi-Factor Basic method.

|  |  | Allocation Rates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CB-FTE |  | 2016 |  | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | $32 \%$ |  | $34 \%$ |
|  | SoCalGas | $62 \%$ |  | $61 \%$ |
|  | Global / Retained | $6 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ |
|  |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

## D. Corporate Strategy and External Affairs

Sempra Energy companies conduct business in multiple communities, states, and countries. Corporate Strategy and External Affairs provides overall policy guidance for the Sempra Energy companies' interactions with external constituents, in support of individual business objectives, and to ensure compliance with enterprise-wide objectives, laws, and
regulations. The functions and cost forecasts are listed in the following charts and in more detail in this section.

TABLE MLD-4D
Corporate Strategy and External Affairs Summary of Costs

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2016-2019 \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| D-1 Executive VP Corporate Strategy \& External Affairs | 925 | (126) | 799 | 392 | (41) | 351 |
| D-2 Corporate Strategy | 258 | 349 | 606 | 69 | 393 | 462 |
| D-3 Corporate Communications | 1,985 | 220 | 2,205 | 1,369 | 131 | 1,501 |
| D-4 Issues Management | 973 | 166 | 1,139 | 729 | (185) | 544 |
| D-5 Corporate Responsibility | 1,110 | (42) | 1,068 | 673 | (47) | 626 |
| D-6 Government Affairs | 1,968 | 1,421 | 3,388 | 126 | 4 | 130 |
| D-7 Employee Programs | 891 | 4,323 | 5,214 | 182 | 93 | 276 |
| Total | \$8,110 | \$6,310 | \$14,420 | \$3,542 | \$349 | \$3,890 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 1,674 | 93 | 1,768 |  |  | 1,921 |
| So Cal Gas | 1,867 | 255 | 2,123 |  |  | 2,303 |
| Total Utility | 3,542 | 349 | 3,890 |  |  | \$4,224 |
| Global / Retained | 4,569 | 5,962 | 10,530 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$8,110 | \$6,310 | \$14,420 |  |  |  |

The costs for Corporate Strategy and External Affairs that are assigned to SDG\&E and SoCalGas are forecast to increase by $\$ 0.3$ million from BY 2016 to TY 2019. This is primarily due to a slight increase in overall staffing costs.

1. Executive VP of Corporate Strategy and External Affairs (D-1)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services Provided | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| D-1. 1 1100-0164-EXECUTIVE VP CORPORATE STRATEGY \& EXTERNAL AFFAIRS | 925 | (126) | 799 | 392 | (41) | 351 |
| Total | \$925 | (\$126) | \$799 | \$392 | (\$41) | \$351 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 188 | (30) | 159 |  |  | 171 |
| So Cal Gas | 204 | (11) | 193 |  |  | 208 |
| Total Utility | 392 | (41) | 351 |  |  | \$379 |
| Global / Retained | 533 | (85) | 448 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$925 | (\$126) | \$799 |  |  |  |

The Executive VP of Corporate Strategy and External Affairs oversees the entire division that provides corporate communications, issues management, corporate responsibility, and governmental affairs services and guidance to Corporate Center and all of the business units. Allocation of Costs

Because of the diversity of allocation methods used by the various functions, a weighted average of the allocation methodologies used by each department reporting to the Executive VP
of Corporate Strategy and External Affairs officer was developed. This allocation method is referred to as "EVP - Ext Affairs."

| EVP - Ext Affairs |  | Allocat | Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | 22\% | 20\% |
|  |  | 25\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 53\% | 56\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

2. Corporate Strategy (D-2)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| D-2.1 1100-0393-CORPORATE STRATEGY | 258 | 349 | 606 | 69 | 393 | 462 |
| Total | \$258 | \$349 | \$606 | \$69 | \$393 | \$462 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 33 | 181 | 214 |  |  | 229 |
| So Cal Gas | 36 | 212 | 248 |  |  | 266 |
| Total Utility | 69 | 393 | 462 |  |  | \$495 |
| Global / Retained | 189 | (45) | 144 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$258 | \$349 | \$606 |  |  |  |

Prior to 2017, Corporate Strategy was part of the Mergers \& Acquisitions Department, for which all costs are retained as discussed in Section A.4. In 2017, Corporate Strategy is its own Department, and is responsible for facilitating and creating content for the annual strategy review for the Board of Directors and conducting or coordinating ad hoc research and analysis in support of senior management decision making and for the business units. The business units benefit from this cost center's support of their content submissions to the annual strategy review and specific research and analytical support.

Allocation of Costs
Corporate Strategy supports activities across all the business units. Therefore, these costs are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" method.

| Multi-Factor Basic | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 37\% | 35\% |
|  |  | 40\% | 41\% |
|  |  | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## 3. Communications (D-3)

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| D-3.1 1100-0169-CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS | 565 | 123 | 688 | 283 | 62 | 344 |
| D-3.2 1100-0168-DIGITAL/PRINT COMMUNICATIONS | 905 | 56 | 962 | 693 | 41 | 733 |
| D-3.3 1100-0378-ANNUAL REPORT | 514 | 41 | 555 | 394 | 29 | 423 |
| Total | \$1,985 | \$220 | \$2,205 | \$1,369 | \$131 | \$1,501 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 656 | 39 | 695 |  |  | 739 |
| So Cal Gas | 714 | 92 | 806 |  |  | 856 |
| Total Utility | 1,369 | 131 | 1,501 |  |  | \$1,595 |
| Global / Retained | 616 | 89 | 704 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$1,985 | \$220 | \$2,205 |  |  |  |

The Corporate Communications department oversees most shareholder communications, including media-related activities (broadcast and print) and earnings announcements, which communicate critical information to investors and customers about the financial health and strategy of Sempra Energy and its business units.

This department also supports certain communications for the Sempra Energy companies, utility and business unit customers, and communities in which they do business. Such communications include raising awareness of philanthropic activities and support of the local communities in which the business units operate. Additionally, Corporate Communications develops, implements, coordinates, and manages overarching corporate standards and guidelines for the internet/intranet, brand and identity usage and protection, images, video, and other public information. These activities include providing guidance to the Utilities and other business units on web design and architecture (consistent with the corporate website), as well as management of the corporate identity to prevent copyright infringement, brand confusion, and unauthorized usage of the Sempra Energy, utility, business unit, or subsidiary brands for commercial purposes. Additionally, this department publishes Sempra Energy's Annual Report and provides support for employee communications.

## Allocation of Costs

Services provided by Corporate Communications may be on behalf of executives and/or issues for Sempra Energy or the Utilities or Global business units and, therefore, it is appropriate to use a "Multi-Factor Split" method that divides costs equally between them.

| Multi-Factor Split | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 24\% | 23\% |
|  |  | 26\% | 27\% |
|  |  | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

The Annual Report and other forms of external communications are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" method, consistent with Investor Relations.

| Multi-Factor Basic | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 37\% | 35\% |
|  |  | 40\% | 41\% |
|  |  | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## 4. Issues Management (D-4)



The Issues Management Department is responsible for identifying, analyzing, and reporting on key external issues and trends that may impact Sempra Energy. Issues Management also provides resources and input to groups throughout Sempra Energy as they develop external plans for key projects and initiatives.

## Allocation of Costs

The Regional VP \& Director for Issues Management does not work on utility-related issues and, therefore, the costs are not allocated to the Utilities. The remainder of the Issues Management group supports activities across all the business units. Therefore, these costs are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" method.

| Multi-Factor Basic |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 37\% | 35\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 40\% | 41\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

5. Corporate Responsibility (D-5)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | 2016-2019 Incr/(Decr) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| D-5.1 1100-0157-CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY | 1,110 | (42) | 1,068 | 673 | (47) | 626 |
| Total | \$1,110 | (\$42) | \$1,068 | \$673 | (\$47) | \$626 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 323 | (33) | 290 |  |  | 312 |
| So Cal Gas | 350 | (14) | 336 |  |  | 362 |
| Total Utility | 673 | (47) | 626 |  |  | \$674 |
| Global / Retained | 437 | 5 | 442 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$1,110 | (\$42) | \$1,068 |  |  |  |

The Corporate Responsibility department supports the goal setting, tracking, and monitoring of corporate responsibility objectives, collects all data for corporate responsibility reporting, and handles all surveys and data requests for such information. It is responsible for the communications and distribution of the Corporate Responsibility report for Sempra Energy and its business units, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas. This department also has responsibility for the management of the corporate political contributions budget and the operation of the Sempra Energy Employees Political Action Committee (PAC), which program costs are retained.

Political Reporting and Compliance is also part of the Corporate Responsibility department and it provides budget support for the division and is also responsible for the management and oversight of political contributions, political compliance training, lobbying and
gift reporting, and PAC reporting with external agencies, including but not limited to, the Federal Election Commission and California Fair Political Practices Commission.

Allocation of Costs
Corporate Responsibility supports activities across all the business units, but also has a component that is related directly to the PAC and political reporting. For that reason, the department allocation method starts with the Multi-Factor as a basis and then reduces the percentages to exclude a portion attributed to estimated time spent on political activities. This blended method is known as "CB - Corp Responsibility."

| CB - Corp Responsibility | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 28\% | 27\% |
|  |  | 31\% | 31\% |
|  |  | 41\% | 42\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## 6. Governmental Affairs (D-6)

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2016-2019 \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| D-6.1 1100-0379-VP FEDERAL GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS | 340 | (37) | 304 | - | - | - |
| D-6.2 1100-0152-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS | 1,248 | 472 | 1,721 | - | - | - |
| D-6.3 1100-0163-FERC RELATIONS | 254 | 7 | 261 | 127 | 3 | 130 |
| D-6.4 1100-0151-CORPORATE POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS | 120 | 76 | 196 | - | - | - |
| D-6.5 1100-0334-SEMPRA CORPORATION | 5 | 902 | 908 | - | - | - |
| Total | \$1,968 | \$1,421 | \$3,388 | \$127 | \$3 | \$130 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 61 | (1) | 60 |  |  | 92 |
| So Cal Gas | 66 | 3 | 70 |  |  | 102 |
| Total Utility | 127 | 3 | 130 |  |  | \$194 |
| Global / Retained | 1,841 | 1,418 | 3,258 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$1,968 | \$1,421 | \$3,388 |  |  |  |

The Governmental Affairs department is responsible for management of federal legislation and advocacy. The department represents Sempra Energy and its business units on all federal legislative issues that have the potential to directly impact the Sempra Energy companies. The Federal Government Affairs department's activity predominantly involves lobbying activities and, as such, the costs are fully retained at the Corporate Center.

The FERC Relations department has responsibility for the management of federal regulations and governmental advocacy. FERC Relations represents Sempra Energy and its
business units, including SDG\&E and SoCalGas, on all federal regulatory issues that have the potential to directly impact the Sempra Energy companies. This group works with governmental staff and officials to resolve issues, tracks federal regulatory proceedings and addresses and seeks changes to regulations applicable to business units.

## Allocation of Costs

Federal Government Affairs is mainly a lobbying activity, so costs are $100 \%$ retained at the Corporate Center, as are any political contributions.

FERC Relations is allocated using the "Multi-Factor Split" method in order to evenly divide the benefits between the Utilities and Global.

| Multi-Factor Split | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global/ Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 24\% | 23\% |
|  |  | 26\% | 27\% |
|  |  | 50\% | 50\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## 7. Employee Programs (D-7)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) <br> Services Provided | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| D-7. 1 1100-0155-EMPLOYEE PROGRAMS | 334 | (15) | 319 | 182 | 93 | 276 |
| D-7.2 1100-0156-CONTRIBUTIONS \& MEMBERSHIPS | 557 | 4,338 | 4,895 | - | - | - |
| Total | \$891 | \$4,323 | \$5,214 | \$182 | \$93 | \$276 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 63 | 34 | 98 |  |  | 107 |
| So Cal Gas | 119 | 59 | 178 |  |  | 194 |
| Total Utility | 182 | 93 | 276 |  |  | \$301 |
| Global / Retained | 709 | 4,230 | 4,939 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$891 | \$4,323 | \$5,214 |  |  |  |

The Employee Programs group develops, implements, and manages corporate policies and programs for charitable contributions and corporate memberships. This includes managing officer and corporate involvement in business associations and non-profit organizations and coordinating memberships and contributions processes with all business units.

This group also plans, promotes, and manages the Sempra Energy Foundation, which funds community initiatives and programs in the areas of environment, education, disaster relief, preparedness, and safety. The Sempra Energy Foundation also funds a corporate-wide employee
volunteerism program (the Volunteer Incentive Program) as well as the Matching Gifts program for employees contributing financially to their communities in the areas of education, arts, and culture. Additionally, corporate-wide programs include the Scholarship Program for Children of Employees and the Sempra Employee Giving Network.

## Allocation of Costs

As this function primarily supports employee-based community involvement, the costs are allocated based on employees at each business unit, or the "CB - FTE" method.

| CB-FTE | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates$2016 \quad 2019$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 32\% | 34\% |
|  |  | 62\% | 61\% |
|  |  | 6\% | 5\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

Sempra Energy makes charitable contributions and maintains corporate memberships because much of these dollars are invested in communities where our utility customers live and work. Corporate contributions to social, arts, and cultural organizations make Southern California a more vital and attractive place to live and work and help support the economy. Contributions to higher education fuel both a future workforce for the state and economic development efforts, which spur Southern California's economy.

None of the corporate contributions or costs of memberships are being requested in this GRC.

## E. Facilities and Assets

For purposes of this testimony, certain cost centers are grouped together as they relate to the physical environment and tools used in the conduct of corporate shared services. This includes the depreciation expense of corporate capital assets and annual property taxes paid on them.

TABLE MLD-4E
Facilities and Assets Summary of Costs

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| E-1 Depreciation/Rate of Return | 10,423 | 2,917 | 13,340 | 5,805 | 2,534 | 8,340 |
| E-2 Property Taxes | 3,169 | (223) | 2,946 | 1,445 | 16 | 1,462 |
| E-3 Facilities and Other Assets | 11,787 | 1,853 | 13,640 | 5,282 | 803 | 6,085 |
| Total | \$25,379 | \$4,547 | \$29,926 | \$12,533 | \$3,354 | \$15,886 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 5,796 | 1,596 | 7,392 |  |  | 7,429 |
| So Cal Gas | 6,736 | 1,758 | 8,495 |  |  | 8,535 |
| Total Utility | 12,533 | 3,354 | 15,886 |  |  | \$15,964 |
| Global / Retained | 12,846 | 1,193 | 14,040 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$25,379 | \$4,547 | \$29,926 |  |  |  |

The costs for Facilities and Assets assigned to SDG\&E and SoCalGas are forecast to increase by $\$ 3.3$ million from BY 2016 to TY 2019. The primary factors for the increase are:

## Facilities

$\$$ - millions
\$ 2.5 Depreciation \& RoR (Shared systems replacements - Vantage HCM, Project Analysis, Security)
1.1 HQ rent and maintenance
(0.3) Other - primarily IT Help-Desk support and consulting
$\$ \quad 3.3$

1. Depreciation/Rate of Return (E-1)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | 2016-2019 Incr/(Decr) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| E-1.1 1100-0248-DEPRECIATION \& AMORTIZATION | 10,423 | 2,917 | 13,340 | 5,805 | 2,534 | 8,340 |
| Total | \$10,423 | \$2,917 | \$13,340 | \$5,805 | \$2,534 | \$8,340 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 2,410 | 1,301 | 3,711 |  |  | 3,711 |
| So Cal Gas | 3,395 | 1,234 | 4,629 |  |  | 4,629 |
| Total Utility | 5,805 | 2,534 | 8,340 |  |  | \$8,340 |
| Global / Retained | 4,618 | 383 | 5,000 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$10,423 | \$2,917 | \$13,340 |  |  |  |

Corporate Center assets are comprised primarily of the Sempra Energy headquarters building leasehold improvements, furniture, voice, data, and other desktop equipment, application software, and enterprise-wide information systems software and hardware, managed
by Corporate Center employees. These assets are depreciated based on their asset class and/or expected life, resulting in expense to the Corporate Center. Also included is an asset carrying charge, or rate of return (ROR), on the assets' net book value (NBV). The Corporate Center assesses ROR on its assets to earn a return on them, in the same way SDG\&E and SoCalGas earn a return on their rate base. Depreciation and ROR are both allocated to SDG\&E, SoCalGas, and Global using Causal/Beneficial allocation methods on each asset type.

Sempra Energy is in the process of placing into service several capital projects in 2017 and 2018. They are: (1) the replacement of Sempra Energy's MyInfo Human Resources system at a cost of $\$ 9.9$ million; (2) a new project analysis and reporting system for both SDG\&E and SoCalGas that totals $\$ 2.4$ million; (3) new computer equipment for all headquarters personnel for $\$ 1.6$ million; (4) a new updated security system to be installed at headquarters and at both SDG\&E and SoCalGas for a total of $\$ 1.2$ million; and (5) a new Treasury Management System that costs $\$ 0.5$ million. As a result of these new systems or replacements, total Depreciation and ROR expense applicable to the Utilities is forecast to increase by $\$ 2,534,000$ from 2016 to 2019. Depreciation \& Asset Carrying Charge Policies

Sempra Energy utilizes the straight-line method in computing depreciation. All calculations adhere to the "following month convention." Hence, any assets capitalized or placed in service the current month will start being depreciated the following month.

Sempra Energy's assets have various lives depending on expected life. For example, standard computer hardware is depreciated over five years and leasehold improvements are depreciated over twenty-five years.

NBV is the total acquisition cost less total accumulated depreciation for any asset. The Corporate Center asset base is the sum of the NBVs of all its fixed assets. As new capital additions are placed into service, the NBV increases, generating new ROR in addition to new depreciation expense. If capital additions are low or none are forecast, the expense will decrease as NBVs decline.

ROR is calculated by applying the asset carrying charge rate to the average monthly asset balance (average of monthly beginning and ending asset balances), less associated deferred income taxes. ${ }^{2}$

## a. Corporate Center Assets - Headquarters

This category includes building leasehold improvements, furniture, and equipment used by Corporate Center employees and other occupants of the HQ facilities, located at 488 Eighth Avenue in San Diego. Specific assets in this category are primarily leasehold improvements, including electrical, mechanical and safety, carpeting, security systems, and cafeteria and restroom facilities. Also included are office furniture, movable cubicle dividers, conference and storage equipment, lighting, and other fixtures. Total NBV at January 1, 2019 is forecast to be $\$ 34.5$ million.

## Allocation of Costs

This category of assets is allocated first to business units based on their direct occupancy of the various facilities, with the remaining Corporate Center share being allocated using the Multi-Factor. The overall method is referred to as "CB - HQ."

| CB-HQ | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 23\% | 22\% |
|  |  | 23\% | 23\% |
|  |  | 54\% | 55\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

## b. Corporate Center Assets - Hardware \& Software

Hardware in this category consists primarily of servers. Software consists of desktop and server applications, including various information systems that have been constructed that support Corporate Center functions. This includes the enterprise-wide MyTime timekeeping system and MyInfo payroll and benefits system. Other major shared systems in the Corporate Center asset base include HFM, Corporate Tax system, Corporate Security system, and the Project Analysis and Reporting systems. Total NBV at January 1, 2019 is forecast at $\$ 19.6$ million.

[^1]Allocation of Costs
This category is allocated by various methods, including by direct allocation, depending on the system. The methods are generally based on the number of users, or in the case of MyInfo/MyTime timekeeping, by the number of employees per business unit served by the system. Corporate Center use is reallocated by the Multi-Factor method. The rates for these types of allocation methods are as follows:

| CB-FTE | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 32\% | 34\% |
|  |  | 62\% | 61\% |
|  |  | 6\% | 5\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |


|  |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CB - MyInfo | SDG\&E | 2016 |  |
|  | SoCalGas | $31 \%$ |  |
|  | Global / Retained | $34 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $60 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $92 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $100 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $100 \%$ |  |

## c. Corporate Center Assets - Other Equipment

This category includes all other equipment, vehicles and miscellaneous assets used by Corporate Center employees and other occupants of the Headquarters facilities. Total NBV on January 1, 2019 is forecast at $\$ 1.7$ million.

## Allocation of Costs

The depreciation for Other Equipment assets is allocated using the Multi-Factor method.

| Multi-Factor Basic |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 37\% | 35\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 40\% | 41\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

d. Corporate Center Assets - Retained

This category includes other assets for which depreciation and ROR expense is not allocated to business units. The major asset within this category is a fractional share ownership of a corporate aircraft. All expenses, including the depreciation of the aircraft, are retained and not allocated to business units. Also in this category is artwork used for interior and common area decor, although no depreciation or asset carrying charge is generated on artwork. Also, included in this category is a Charitable Gift Tracking system, which is used to assist with coordinating the numerous requests received and grants made to outside agencies. As described earlier in this testimony, charitable contributions are excluded from this request; thus, the tool for administering those grants is also excluded from depreciation and ROR expense. Other assets in this category are leasehold improvements for the Washington, D.C. office used by Governmental Affairs employees. Those offices conduct lobbying activities; thus, their facilities expenses are not allocated. This category was created to ensure depreciation and ROR for these assets are tracked, but not included in ratemaking. Total NBV on January 1, 2019 is forecast at $\$ 2.5$ million.

## Allocation of Costs

As described above, all depreciation and ROR for these assets is $100 \%$ retained at the Corporate Center.

## 2. Property Taxes (E-2)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services Provided | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| E-2. 1 1100-0311-PROPERTY TAXES | 3,169 | (223) | 2,946 | 1,445 | 16 | 1,462 |
| Total | \$3,169 | (\$223) | \$2,946 | \$1,445 | \$16 | \$1,462 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 729 | (22) | 707 |  |  | 745 |
| So Cal Gas | 717 | 38 | 755 |  |  | 795 |
| Total Utility | 1,445 | 16 | 1,462 |  |  | \$1,540 |
| Global / Retained | 1,723 | (239) | 1,484 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$3,169 | (\$223) | \$2,946 |  |  |  |

Property tax expense is paid at Corporate Center only for property owned by the Sempra Energy parent, not for any business unit assets. This property generally includes Sempra Energy headquarters leasehold improvements and office equipment, and the forecast is estimated by Tax Services based on the expected changes in NBV and escalation.

## Allocation of Costs

Property taxes on hardware assets that support Corporate Center functions or have enterprise-wide use are allocated using the "Multi-Factor Basic" method. Taxes on leasehold improvements for the HQ building are allocated based on business unit occupancy, using the same method as its Depreciation.

| Multi-Factor Basic | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 37\% | 35\% |
|  |  | 40\% | 41\% |
|  |  | 23\% | 24\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |


| CB-HQ | SDG\&E <br> SoCalGas <br> Global / Retained | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  |  | 23\% | 22\% |
|  |  | 23\% | 23\% |
|  |  | 54\% | 55\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |

3. Other Facilities and Assets (E-3)

| Services Provided (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| E-3.1 1100-0032-HQ RENT EXPENSE \& OTHER EXPENSE | 11,001 | 2,134 | 13,135 | 5,011 | 917 | 5,928 |
| E-3.2 1100-0391-HQ PROJECTS | 24 | (24) | - | 11 | (11) | - |
| E-3.3 1100-0280 IT HELP DESK - CORPORATE | 340 | (135) | 205 | 260 | (104) | 157 |
| E-3.4 1100-0341-AIRCRAFT - FRACTIONAL SHARE | 422 | (122) | 300 | - | - | - |
| Total | \$11,787 | \$1,853 | \$13,640 | \$5,282 | \$803 | \$6,085 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 2,657 | 317 | 2,974 |  |  | 2,974 |
| So Cal Gas | 2,625 | 486 | 3,111 |  |  | 3,111 |
| Total Utility | 5,282 | 803 | 6,085 |  |  | \$6,085 |
| Global / Retained | 6,506 | 1,050 | 7,555 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$11,787 | \$1,853 | \$13,640 |  |  |  |

Other Facilities and Assets represent various cost centers such as rent expense at headquarters, the Corporate IT Help Desk, and the fractional share ownership in the corporate aircraft.

Sempra Energy pays annual rent expense on its Headquarters building. The Corporate IT Desk provides IT help desk management services, assists with any personnel moves, and tracks
computer devices and licenses. As mentioned above, all expenses and depreciation related to the fractional share ownership of the corporate aircraft are retained and not allocated to business units.

## Allocation of Costs

HQ rent expense for the Headquarters building is allocated based on business unit occupancy, which uses the same method as Depreciation. The Corporate IT Help Desk supports Corporate Center functions that have enterprise-wide use and are thus allocated using the "MultiFactor Basic" method.

| CB - HQ |  | Allocation Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016 | 2019 |
|  | SDG\&E | 23\% | 22\% |
|  | SoCalGas | 23\% | 23\% |
|  | Global / Retained | 54\% | 55\% |
|  |  | 100\% | 100\% |


|  |  | Allocation Rates |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Multi-Factor Basic | SDG\&E | 2016 |  | 2019 |
|  | SoCalGas | $37 \%$ |  | $35 \%$ |
|  | Global/ Retained | $40 \%$ |  | $41 \%$ |
|  |  | $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $100 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |

## F. Pension \& Benefits

Pension \& Benefits (P\&B) costs are allocated using average rates representing such costs as a percentage of direct labor dollars. The resulting costs are referred to as "labor overheads," which then can be allocated in the same manner as the direct labor in each cost center. For purposes of this testimony, the labor overheads were removed from the operational cost centers presented in sections IV.A through IV.E, and consolidated as depicted in the following table. In addition to pension and other post-retirement benefits and payroll taxes, Incentive Compensation Plan (ICP), Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), and the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) costs are included in this group of costs. Please see the Direct Testimony of Debbie Robinson (Ex. SCG-31 and SDG\&E-29) for more information on Pensions and Benefits.

TABLE MLD-4F
Pension \& Benefits Summary of Costs

| $\qquad(2016$ \$ - 000's) <br> Services Provided | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| F-1 Employee Benefits | 11,833 | 1,514 | 13,347 | 6,904 | 1,533 | 8,438 |
| F-2 Payroll Taxes | 5,016 | 501 | 5,517 | 2,905 | 590 | 3,496 |
| F-3 Incentive Compensation | 17,417 | 2,364 | 19,782 | 10,780 | 545 | 11,325 |
| F-4 Long-Term Incentives | 38,622 | 3,680 | 42,303 | 6,650 | 2,107 | 8,757 |
| F-5 Supplemental Retirement | 14,541 | $(1,441)$ | 13,100 | 3,422 | (28) | 3,394 |
| Total | \$87,431 | \$6,618 | \$94,048 | \$30,662 | \$4,748 | \$35,409 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 14,976 | 1,062 | 16,038 |  |  | 16,912 |
| So Cal Gas | 15,685 | 3,686 | 19,371 |  |  | 20,456 |
| Total Utility | 30,662 | 4,748 | 35,409 |  |  | \$37,368 |
| Global / Retained | 56,769 | 1,870 | 58,639 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$87,431 | \$6,618 | \$94,048 |  |  |  |

The forecasted requested costs for P\&B assigned to SDG\&E and SoCalGas in TY 2019 is $\$ 4.7$ million higher than adjusted-recorded costs in BY 2016. The increases are primarily related to long-term incentive executive benefits and an increase in pension and benefits expenses.

## $\$$ - millions

\$ 2.7 Employee Benefits (P\&B, ICP, Payroll Tax), net
2.1 Increase in long-term incentive plan issuances
(0.1) Other - primarily SERP \& Life insurance
\$ 4.7

## 1. Employee Benefits (F-1)

| $\qquad(2016$ \$ - 000's) <br> Services Provided | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| F-1.1 1100-0802-P\&B OVERHEAD | 11,486 | 1,755 | 13,241 | 6,783 | 1,607 | 8,390 |
| F-1.2 1100-0370-LIFE INSURANCE | 347 | (242) | 106 | 122 | (74) | 48 |
| Total | \$11,833 | \$1,514 | \$13,347 | \$6,904 | \$1,533 | \$8,438 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 3,292 | 486 | 3,778 |  |  | 4,095 |
| So Cal Gas | 3,612 | 1,047 | 4,660 |  |  | 5,050 |
| Total Utility | 6,904 | 1,533 | 8,438 |  |  | \$9,145 |
| Global / Retained | 4,929 | (20) | 4,909 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$11,833 | \$1,514 | \$13,347 |  |  |  |

This category contains all health and welfare plans available to Corporate Center employees. The primary benefits included are pension, medical, dental, disability, life insurance, and retirement savings plan, as well as other post-retirement benefit costs. The choice of plans, coverage levels, and company contributions are consistent with benefits offered to the Utility employees. The forecast is applied as an overhead rate to all direct labor. Most of the increase is due to changes in medical plan costs and higher projected post-retirement benefit expense, consistent with those for the Utilities. See a description of employee benefit assumptions in the testimony of Ms. Robinson (Ex. SDG\&E-28 and SCG-30) and a discussion of pension costs in the testimony of Ms. Robinson (Ex. SDG\&E-29 and SCG-31).

## 2. Payroll Taxes (F-2)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services Provided | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| F-2.1 1100-0803-PAYROLL TAX | 5,016 | 501 | 5,517 | 2,905 | 590 | 3,496 |
| Total | \$5,016 | \$501 | \$5,517 | \$2,905 | \$590 | \$3,496 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 1,390 | 175 | 1,565 |  |  | 1,698 |
| So Cal Gas | 1,515 | 415 | 1,930 |  |  | 2,093 |
| Total Utility | 2,905 | 590 | 3,496 |  |  | \$3,791 |
| Global / Retained | 2,111 | (89) | 2,022 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$5,016 | \$501 | \$5,517 |  |  |  |

At Sempra Energy, payroll tax expense is applied as an overhead rate to all direct labor. The composite overhead rate for payroll taxes used in 2016 was $3.43 \%$. In 2019, the rate is forecasted at $3.46 \%$. No changes to the Social Security and Medicare (i.e., Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA)), or the federal unemployment tax rates are expected for 2019. State unemployment is expected to decrease from 2016 to 2019. In 2016, the wage limit for the OldAge, Survivor's, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) portion ${ }^{3}$ of the FICA tax was $\$ 118,500$; in 2019, the limit is projected to be $\$ 135,900$.

[^2]
## 3. Incentive Compensation (F-3)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services Provided | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| F-3.1 1100-0800-EXECUTIVE ICP | 5,655 | 158 | 5,813 | 1,659 | 320 | 1,979 |
| F-3.2 1100-0801-ICP OVERHEAD | 11,762 | 2,207 | 13,969 | 9,121 | 225 | 9,346 |
| Total | \$17,417 | \$2,364 | \$19,782 | \$10,780 | \$545 | \$11,325 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 5,197 | (171) | 5,026 |  |  | 5,451 |
| So Cal Gas | 5,583 | 716 | 6,299 |  |  | 6,830 |
| Total Utility | 10,780 | 545 | 11,325 |  |  | \$12,281 |
| Global / Retained | 6,638 | 1,819 | 8,457 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$17,417 | \$2,364 | \$19,782 |  |  |  |

Variable pay plans have been a part of Sempra Energy's total compensation strategy since its inception. The variable pay plans are commonly referred to as ICP. The ICP places a portion of employee compensation at-risk, subject to achievement of the plan's performance measures, motivating employees to meet or exceed important financial and project completion goals.

Corporate Center's ICP costs are included for all eligible employees, based on expected overall performance results. The request is for ICP based on an average of the last five years of actual ICP payouts. This is consistent with the Total Compensation structure used at SDG\&E and SoCalGas, as described in the testimony of Ms. Robinson (Ex. SDG\&E-28 and SCG-30). Base Year 2016 actual expense reflects a shareholder ICP payout above target, while the forecast TY 2019 shows a funding request at the average of the last five years of actual ICP payout.

## 4. Long-Term Incentive (F-4)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2016-2019 } \\ \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| F-4.1 1100-0805-RESTRICTED STOCK | 34,568 | 3,762 | 38,330 | 6,226 | 2,286 | 8,512 |
| F-4.2 1100-0806-LIFE INSURANCE | 3,723 | 118 | 3,841 | 127 | 2 | 129 |
| F-4.3 1100-0310-UTILITY \& AFFILIATE EXECUTIVE BENEFITS | 333 | (216) | 118 | 299 | (194) | 105 |
| F-4.4 1100-0129-EXECUTIVE BENEFITS | (3) | 17 | 14 | (2) | 13 | 11 |
| Total | \$38,622 | \$3,680 | \$42,303 | \$6,650 | \$2,107 | \$8,757 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 3,434 | 682 | 4,116 |  |  | 4,116 |
| So Cal Gas | 3,216 | 1,424 | 4,641 |  |  | 4,641 |
| Total Utility | 6,650 | 2,107 | 8,757 |  |  | \$8,757 |
| Global / Retained | 31,972 | 1,573 | 33,545 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$38,622 | \$3,680 | \$42,303 |  |  |  |

Long-term incentives are an integral component of a competitive compensation program for key management and executive employees. They are critical to the attraction, motivation, and retention of a skilled, experienced leadership team. Long-term incentives awards are granted under the Sempra Energy LTIP, in the form of performance-based restricted stock units and service-based restricted stock units. The costs are based on the accounting expense incurred for awards issued to Corporate Center employees. The LTIP is consistent with the Total Compensation structure used at SDG\&E and SoCalGas, as described in the testimony of Ms. Robinson (Ex. SDG\&E-28 and SCG-30).

## 5. Supplemental Retirement (F-5)

| (2016 \$ - 000's) <br> Services Provided | Corporate Center |  |  | Utility Allocations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Base Year } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2016-2019 } \\ & \text { Incr/(Decr) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| F-5.1 1100-0804-SERP | 14,541 | $(1,441)$ | 13,100 | 3,422 | (28) | 3,394 |
| Total | \$14,541 | (\$1,441) | \$13,100 | \$3,422 | (\$28) | \$3,394 |
| Allocations |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Escalated } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ |
| SDG\&E | 1,664 | (112) | 1,552 |  |  | 1,552 |
| So Cal Gas | 1,759 | 83 | 1,842 |  |  | 1,842 |
| Total Utility | 3,422 | (28) | 3,394 |  |  | \$3,394 |
| Global / Retained | 11,119 | $(1,413)$ | 9,706 |  |  |  |
| Total | \$14,541 | (\$1,441) | \$13,100 |  |  |  |

SERP benefits form an important component of the total reward package for key managers, directors, attorneys, and executives. These plans are a key component of a competitive compensation and benefits package to attract and retain leadership talent. Cost forecasts represent the projected benefit accruals for executives eligible for SERP by 2019. This Plan is consistent with the SERP at SDG\&E and SoCalGas, as described in the testimony of Ms. Robinson (Ex. SDG\&E-28 and SCG-30).

## Allocation of Pension and Benefits Costs

The benefits and compensation plan totals in this section are a compilation of labor loaders and benefit accruals, which retain the allocation nature of the original labor dollars by which they were generated. The allocation of direct labor and non-labor costs has been covered for each functional area in sections IV.A through IV.E. None of the benefit costs associated with
the Sempra Energy Chairman, President and CEO or Group Presidents are allocated to SDG\&E and SoCalGas, consistent with how labor and other costs for those positions are handled.

## V. CONCLUSION

The Corporate Center services described in this testimony complete the scope of functions that would be essential to any large-scale public utility (apart from Corporate Insurance which is separately addressed by Mr. Cayabyab's Insurance testimony (Ex. SDG\&E-27 and SCG-29)). Through the centralized Corporate Center, Sempra Energy is able to deliver efficient service and professional oversight to its business units, using fair allocation policies. SDG\&E and SoCalGas benefit from this approach by avoiding the need for staffing duplicative functions in their own organizations and by sharing the costs with other Sempra Energy affiliates. Overall, $45 \%$ of Corporate Center's total forecasted costs are being requested in this GRC.

Following is a summary of all forecast allocations to SDG\&E and SoCalGas, on an escalated basis, which I believe is fair and reasonable. The Summary of Earnings Direct Testimony of Khai Nguyen, (Ex. SDG\&E-42 and SCE-43) show these allocations as nonstandard charges under A\&G Combined (Non-Shared Services).

## Escalated (\$ - thousands)

## Services Provided

A Finance
B Legal, Compliance and Governance
C Human Resources \& Administration
D Corporate Strategy \& External Affairs
E Facilities and Assets
F Pension \& Benefits Total

## Services by FERC Account

F923.1 Outside Services Employed
F923.4 Depreciation \& ROR
Total

Test Year 2019 Utility Allocations

| Test Year 2019 Utility Allocations |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SDGCalGas |  |  |
| 14,840 | 15,478 |  | 30,317 |
| 11,282 | 13,072 |  | 24,354 |
| 8,537 | 14,603 |  | 23,140 |
| 1,921 | 2,303 |  | 4,224 |
| 7,494 | 8,615 |  | 16,109 |
| 16,912 | 20,456 |  | 37,368 |
| 60,986 | 74,526 |  | 135,512 |

SDG\&E
57,211

| 3,775 | 4,709 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 60,986 |  |

Total Utilities
127,028
SoCalGas


| Total Utilities |
| ---: |
| 127,028 |
| 8,484 |
| 135,512 |

This concludes my prepared direct testimony.

## VI. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS

My name is Mia L. DeMontigny. My business address is $4888^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, San Diego, California, 92101. My current position is Assistant Controller for Sempra Energy. My present responsibilities include work with corporate accounting, accounting research and policy, and external financial reporting (SEC reporting). I am a Certified Public Accountant. After I received my Bachelor of Commerce Degree in Accountancy from Concordia University in 1994, I began my professional career.

From 1994 to 2013, I was employed in public accounting at Price Waterhouse (Canada from 1994 to 1998) and PriceWaterhouseCoopers, LLP (United States from 1998 to 2013). From 2013 to 2015, I was employed as the U.S. Assistant Controller for National Grid. My experience in the power and utility industry began in 1998, and I joined Sempra Energy in 2015.

I have not previously testified before the Commission.

## APPENDIX A - Glossary of Terms

A\&G: Administrative and general
CB: Causal-beneficial (allocation method)
CEO: Chief Executive Officer
CFO: Chief Financial Officer
CIP: Critical Infrastructure Protection
CPUC: California Public Utilities Commission
ERISA: Employee Retirement and Income Security Act of 1974
FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FICA: Federal Insurance Contributions Act
FLP: Financial Leadership Program
FTEs: Full time equivalents
GAAP: Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America
GRC: General rate case
HIPAA: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
HQ : Headquarters building
HR: Human resources
ICP: Incentive Compensation Plan
IRS: Internal Revenue Service
IT: Information technology
LTIP: Long term incentive plan
NBV: Net book value
NERC: North American Electric Reliability Corporation
NGV: Natural gas vehicle
OASDI: Old Age, Survivor's, and Disability Insurance
O\&M: Operation and maintenance
PAC: Political Action Committee
ROR: Rate of return
SCE: Southern California Edison Company
SDG\&E: San Diego Gas \& Electric Company

SEC: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
SERP: Supplemental executive retirement plan
SoCalGas: Southern California Gas Company
SOX: Sarbanes-Oxley Act
Utilities: SDG\&E and SoCalGas, collectively
VP: Vice President

SCG-28/SDG\&E-26 2019 GRC Testimony Revision Log - December 2017

| Exhibit | Witness | Page | Line | Revision Detail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCG-28 / } \\ & \text { SDG\&E-26 } \end{aligned}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-iii | Table | Test Year 2019 (\$000) for SDG\&E is 58,082 - changed from 58,146; and Test Year 2019 ( $\$ 000$ ) for SoCalGas is 70,902 changed from 70,983. Change ( $\$ 000$ ) for SDG\&E is $(1,120)$ - changed from (1,056); and change for SoCalGas is 10,632 - changed from 10,713. Total Utilities Test Year 2019 (\$000) is 128,984 - changed from 129,129. Change (\$000) for Total Utilities is 9,512 - changed from 9.657. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCG-28 / } \\ & \text { SDG\&E-26 } \end{aligned}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-iii | $1^{s t}$ <br> Paragraph | For SDG\&E, $\$ 58,146,000$ is now $\$ 58,082,000$ and $\$ 1,056,000$ is now $\$ 1,120,000$; For SoCalGas, $\$ 70,983,000$ is now $\$ 70,902,000$ and $\$ 10,713,000$ is now $\$ 10,632,000$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SCG-28 / } \\ \text { SDG\&E-26 } \end{gathered}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-iii | $4^{\text {th }}$ Bullet Point | $\$ 1,361,000$ is now $\$ 1,297,000$ and $\$ 1,311,000$ is now \$1,231,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & S C G-28 / \\ & S D G \& E-26 \end{aligned}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-1 | 21 | Table updated to include changes to E Facilities and Assets (Total Corporate Center 2019 Forecast for all of Facilities and Assets is now $\$ 29,926,000$ - changed from $\$ 30,155,000$ and Total Utility 2019 Forecast for all of Facilities and Assets is now \$15,886,000 - changed from $\$ 16,031,000$ ). Also, Total Corporate Center 2019 Forecast is $\$ 284,992,000$ - changed from $\$ 285,222,000$ and Total Utility 2019 Forecast is $\$ 128,984,000$ - changed from \$129,129,000. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SCG-28 / } \\ \text { SDG\&E-26 } \end{gathered}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-4 | 12 | Workpaper reference updated to reflect new Revised Workpapers: (Ex. SDG\&E-26-R-WP and SCG-28-R-WP) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCG-28 / } \\ & \text { SDG\&E-26 } \end{aligned}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-58 | 3 | Table updated to include changes to E-1 Depreciation/ROR (Total Corporate Center 2019 Forecast for all of Facilities and Assets is now \$29,926,000 - changed from $\$ 30,155,000$ and Total Utility 2019 Forecast for all of Facilities and Assets is now $\$ 15,886,000$ - changed from $\$ 16,031,000$ ) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SCG-28 / } \\ \text { SDG\&E-26 } \end{gathered}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-58 | 5 | \$3.5 has been changed to \$3.3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SCG-28 / } \\ \text { SDG\&E-26 } \end{gathered}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-58 | 6 | $\$ 2.7$ has been changed to $\$ 2.5$; and $\$ 3.5$ has been changed to $\$ 3.3$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCG-28 / } \\ & \text { SDG\&E-26 } \end{aligned}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-58 | 8 | Table updated to include changes to E-1.1 Depreciation/ROR (Total Corporate Center 2019 Forecast is now $\$ 13,340,000$ - changed from $\$ 13,569,000$ and Total Utility 2019 Forecast is now $\$ 8,340,000$ - changed from $\$ 8,484,000)$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SCG-28 / } \\ \text { SDG\&E-26 } \end{gathered}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-59 | 14 | \$2,679,000 has been changed to \$2,534,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & S C G-28 / \\ & S D G \& E-26 \end{aligned}$ | Mia DeMontigny | MLD-60 | 3 | Updated footnote 2 to reflect an updated rate of return of 7.34\% for SoCalGas (from 8.02\%) and a updated rate of return of $7.55 \%$ for SDG\&E (from 7.79\%) |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ D. 16-06-054, at 332 (Ordering Paragraph 12) and 324 (Conclusion of Law 75).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The asset carrying charge rates charged to SoCalGas and SDG\&E are based on an $7.34 \%$ rate of return for SoCalGas and a 7.55\% rate of return for SDG\&E, as set forth in Commission Decision 17-07-005.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The Hospital Insurance or Medicare portion of the FICA tax does not have a wage limit.

